arXiv:hep-th/9412058v4 12 Apr 1997

On the structure of inhomogeneous quantum groups

P. Podleś[1*†]and S. L. Woronowicz[2‡]
[1] Department of Mathematics, University of California
Berkeley CA 94720, USA
[2] Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics
Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw
Hoża 74, 00–682 Warszawa, Poland

Abstract

We investigate inhomogeneous quantum groups G built from a quantum group H and translations. The corresponding commutation relations contain inhomogeneous terms. Under certain conditions (which are satisfied in our study of quantum Poincaré groups [12]) we prove that our construction has correct 'size', find the R-matrices and the analogues of Minkowski space for G.

0 Introduction

Inhomogeneous quantum groups, their homogeneous spaces and corresponding R-matrices were studied by many authors (cf e.g. [2], [7], [4], [13], [9], [8], [3]). Here we propose a general construction which covers the examples [7],

---

[*] On leave from Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoża 74, 00–682 Warszawa, Poland

[†] This research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS92–43893 and in part by Polish KBN grant No 2 P301 020 07

[‡] This research was supported by Polish KBN grant No 2 P301 020 07

[3] and is suitable for our study of quantum Poincaré groups (without dilatations) [12]. We work in the framework of Hopf algebras treated as algebras of functions on quantum groups. In Section 1 we assume that G is an inhomogeneous quantum group built from a quantum group H and translations described by the elements p_i corresponding to an irreducible representation Λ of H. The commutation relations can contain inhomogeneous terms. It turns out that the leading terms in these relations are governed by the structure of certain bicovariant bimodule of H. In particular, the leading terms in relations among p_i must correspond to the eigenvalue -1 of the corresponding R-matrix R (cf [11], [8]). In Section 2 we add the condition that all the representations of H are completely reducible (which is the case in [12] when H is a quantum Lorentz group [16]) and find the commutation relations between functions on H and p_i. In Section 3 we assume that $R^2 = \mathbf{1}$ (or $(R - Q\mathbf{1})(R + \mathbf{1}) = 0$ where $Q \neq 0$ is not a root of unity) and that we have as many quadratic relations among p_i as it is allowed by the eigenvalue -1 property (so, if there would be no inhomogeneous terms, p_i would be R-symmetric). That is the simplest case which is sufficient in [12]. We find the exact form of commutation relations and the necessary and sufficient conditions for the corresponding coefficients. If they are fulfilled, there are no relations of higher order and our construction has the same 'size' as in the absence of inhomogeneous terms. The R-matrices for the fundamental representation of G are classified. In Section 4 we consider the $*$-structure and isomorphisms among our objects. In Section 5 we prove that (under some conditions which are fulfilled in [12]) each G possesses exactly one analogue of Minkowski space. Inhomogeneous Poisson groups are considered in [18].

For the simplicity of calculations, the small Latin indices $a, b, c, d, \ldots$, belong to a finite set $\mathcal{I}$ in Sections 1–5. We sum over repeated indices which are not taken in brackets (Einstein's convention). The number of elements in a set B is $\#B$ or $|B|$. We work over the field $\mathbf{C}$. Unit matrix with dimension N is denoted by $\mathbf{1}_N$. If V, W are vector spaces then $\tau_{VW} : V \otimes W \longrightarrow W \otimes V$ is given by $\tau_{VW}(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$, $x \in V$, $y \in W$. We often write τ instead of τ_{VW}. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear space and $v, v' \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$, $N \in \mathbf{N}$, then $v \textcircled{\scriptsize$\perp$} v' \in M_N(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is defined by $(v \textcircled{\scriptsize$\perp$} v')_{ij} = v_{ik} \otimes {v'}_{kj}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ (Einstein's convention!). If moreover $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, $v \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$, $w \in M_K(\mathcal{A})$,then $v \textcircled{\scriptsize$\top$} w \in M_{NK}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by $(v \textcircled{\scriptsize$\top$} w)_{ij,kl} = v_{ik} w_{jl}$, $i, k = 1, \ldots, N$, $j, l = 1, \ldots, K$. We use the abbreviation $v^{\textcircled{\scriptsize$\top$} n}$ for $v \textcircled{\scriptsize$\top$} \ldots \textcircled{\scriptsize$\top$} v$ (n times). If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{C}$ we may write $\otimes$

instead of $\textcircled{T}$. If also $v' \in M_N(\mathcal{A}), w' \in M_K(\mathcal{A})$ then $(v \textcircled{T} w) \oplus (v' \textcircled{T} w') = (v \oplus v') \textcircled{T} (w \oplus w')$ (see (2.18) of [14]). If $\mathcal{A}$ is a *-algebra then the conjugate of v is defined as $\bar{v} \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$, where $\bar{v}_{ij} = {v_{ij}}^*$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$.

Throughout the paper quantum groups G are abstract objects described by the corresponding Hopf (*-) algebras $\mathrm{Poly}(G) = (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$. We denote by Δ, ϵ, S the comultiplication, counit and coinverse of $\mathrm{Poly}(G)$. We always assume that S is invertible. We say that v is a representation of G (i.e. $v \in \mathrm{Rep}\ G$) if $v \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$, $N \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\Delta v_{ij} = v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}$, $\epsilon(v_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Then $\dim\ v = N$. The conjugate of a representation and tensor product of representations are also representations. Matrix elements of representations of G span $\mathcal{A}$ as a linear space. The set of nonequivalent irreducible representations of G is denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}\ G$. If $v, w \in \mathrm{Rep}\ G$ then we say that $A \in M_{\dim w \times \dim v}(\mathbf{C})$ intertwines v with w (i.e. $A \in \mathrm{Mor}(v, w)$) if $Av = wA$. We use the following notations:

$$a * \rho = (\rho \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta a, \quad \rho * a = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta a, \quad \rho * \rho' = (\rho \otimes \rho')\Delta$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{A}'$. Let us recall the following well–known

Proposition 0.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an augmented algebra (i.e. a unital algebra endowed with a unital homomorphism $\epsilon : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$) and ω_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, and η_j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, be two free bases of the left $\mathcal{A}$-module Γ. Then $M = N$.*

Proof. One has $\omega_i = a_{ij}\eta_j$, $\eta_j = b_{ji}\omega_i$ for some $a_{ij}, b_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows $a_{ij}b_{jk} = \delta_{ik}I$, $b_{li}a_{ij} = \delta_{lj}I$, $k = 1, \ldots, M$, $l = 1, \ldots, N$. Applying ϵ, one gets $\epsilon(a)\epsilon(b) = \mathbf{1}_M$, $\epsilon(b)\epsilon(a) = \mathbf{1}_N$, hence $\epsilon(a)$ is invertible, $M = N$. $\square$.

Therefore the dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{A}}$ of a free left $\mathcal{A}$-module (where $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hopf algebra) is well defined. We can use the above notions and facts (if applicable) also for general (*-) bialgebras (without S) and not necessarily quadratic matrices.

1 Inhomogeneous quantum groups

In this Section we define inhomogeneous quantum groups and study leading terms in their commutation relations using the theory of bicovariant bimodules [15]. The importance of left covariant bimodule structure in investigation of inhomogeneous quantum groups was first noticed in [13].

Let us assume that $\mathrm{Poly}(H) = (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra with a distinguished irreducible representation $\Lambda = (\Lambda_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathcal{I}}$ of H, $|\mathcal{I}| < \infty$. We set $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{I}|}$. We shall consider bialgebras $\mathrm{Poly}(G) = (\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ such that:

1. $\mathcal{B}$ is generated (as algebra) by $\mathcal{A}$ and the elements p_s, $s \in \mathcal{I}$.
2. $\mathcal{A}$ is a sub–bialgebra of $\mathcal{B}$.
3. $\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & p \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ is a representation of G.
4. There exists $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $p_i \notin \mathcal{A}$.
5. $\Gamma\mathcal{A} \subset \Gamma$ where $\Gamma = \mathcal{A} \cdot X + \mathcal{A}$, $X = \mathrm{span}\{p_i, \quad i \in \mathcal{I}\}$.

Due to 5., Γ is an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. In virtue of 2.-3., $\Delta\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$,

$$\Delta p = \Lambda \mbox{\textcircled{$\perp$}} p + p \mbox{\textcircled{$\perp$}} I, \tag{1.1}$$

hence $\Delta\Gamma \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma + \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{A}$. We define bimodule $\dot{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\mathcal{A}$ by $a\dot{\omega} = \dot{a\omega}$, $\dot{\omega}a = \dot{\omega a}$ where $\dot{\omega}$ is the element of $\dot{\Gamma}$ corresponding to $\omega \in \Gamma$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We see that Δ induces a linear mapping

$$\dot{\Delta} : \dot{\Gamma} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma + \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{A})/(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \approx (\mathcal{A} \otimes \dot{\Gamma}) \oplus (\dot{\Gamma} \otimes \mathcal{A}).$$

We get the decomposition $\dot{\Delta} = \Delta_L + \Delta_R$, $\Delta_L : \dot{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \dot{\Gamma}$, $\Delta_R : \dot{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \dot{\Gamma} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $\Delta_L \dot{p}_s = \Lambda_{st} \otimes \dot{p}_t$, $\Delta_R \dot{p}_s = \dot{p}_s \otimes I$. Using the properties of Δ, one can easily check that $(\dot{\Gamma}, \Delta_L, \Delta_R)$ is a bicovariant bimodule (cf [15], Definition 2.3 and a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 5 of [1]). We notice that $\dot{p}_s$ $(s \in \mathcal{I})$ are elements in the set $\dot{\Gamma}_{inv}$ of right–invariant elements of $\dot{\Gamma}$. Moreover, under Δ_L they transform according to an irreducible representation Λ and at least one of them is nonzero (the condition 4.). Thus they are linearly independent. They generate (see the condition 5.) the left module $\dot{\Gamma}$. Using Theorem 2.3 of [15], we get that $\dot{p}_s$ $(s \in \mathcal{I})$ form a linear basis of $\dot{\Gamma}_{inv}$ and thus a basis of the left module $\dot{\Gamma}$. Moreover, the same Theorem implies that[1]

$$\dot{p}_s a = (a * f_{st})\dot{p}_t \tag{1.2}$$

for some functionals $f_{st} \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that

$$f_{st}(ab) = f_{sm}(a) f_{mt}(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad f_{st}(I) = \delta_{st}. \tag{1.3}$$

---

[1] there is a missprint in (2.33) of [15], to get the correct form one has to replace f_{ij} by $f_{ij} \circ \kappa^{-2}$, which is denoted by f_{ij} in the present paper (cf [11])

(It implies

$$f_{ab} \circ S * f_{bc} = f_{ab} * f_{bc} \circ S = \delta_{ac}\epsilon \tag{1.4}$$

– one can apply both sides to v_{ij}, $v \in \mathrm{Rep}\,\mathcal{A}$, which span $\mathcal{A}$). Applying Δ_L to (1.2), we get

$$\Lambda_{st} a^{(1)} \otimes (a^{(2)} * f_{tr})\dot{p_r} = (\Lambda_{st} \otimes \dot{p_t})\Delta a =$$

$$\Delta(a * f_{st})(\Lambda_{tr} \otimes \dot{p_r}) = (a^{(1)} * f_{st})\Lambda_{tr} \otimes a^{(2)}\dot{p_r},$$

where we denoted $\Delta a = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}$. Comparing the coefficients multiplying $\dot{p_r}$ and applying $\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon$, one obtains

$$\Lambda_{st}(f_{tr} * a) = (a * f_{st})\Lambda_{tr}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{1.5}$$

Let us pass to Γ. The elements $\{I, p_s : \quad s \in \mathcal{I}\}$ form a basis of Γ as a left module. Moreover, (1.2) implies

$$p_s a = (a * f_{st})p_t + \phi_s(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1.6}$$

for some $\phi_s : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Using $p_s(ab) = (p_s a)b$, $p_s I = p_s$ and (1.3), one gets

$$\phi_s(ab) = (a * f_{st})\phi_t(b) + \phi_s(a)b, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \phi_s(I) = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Thus the mapping

$$\psi : \mathcal{A} \ni a \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a * f & \phi(a) \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in M_{|\mathcal{I}|+1}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.8}$$

is a unital homomorphism. Applying Δ to (1.6), one gets the equality of both sides if and only if (1.5) and

$$\Delta\phi_s(a) = (\Lambda_{st} \otimes I)[(\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi_t)\Delta(a)] + (\phi_s \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta a, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1.9}$$

hold.

Before investigation (for certain class of $\mathcal{A}$) of this equation let us consider the general situation. We assume that $f_{st} \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $\phi_s : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfy (1.3), (1.5), (1.7) and (1.9). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be the algebra with I generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\tilde{p}_s$, $s \in \mathcal{I}$, with relations (1.6). We set $\tilde{p}_K = \tilde{p}_{k_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \tilde{p}_{k_n}$ for $K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{I}$ (n times), $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_n$ ($\tilde{p}_\emptyset = I$).

Lemma 1.1 *$\tilde{p}_K$, $K \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}$, form a basis of the left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. We define $\mathcal{C}$ as a free left $\mathcal{A}$-module with basis $P_K = p_{k_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes p_{k_n}$ for $K = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}$. We also set $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{P_K : \quad K \in \mathcal{I}_n\}$ and introduce linear mappings $\lambda_n : \mathcal{C}_n \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ by $\lambda_0(bP_\emptyset \otimes a) = baP_\emptyset$,

$$\lambda_n(b(P_K \otimes p_i) \otimes a) = \lambda_{n-1}(bP_K \otimes (a * f_{is})) \otimes p_s + \lambda_{n-1}(bP_K \otimes \phi_i(a)),$$

$K \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$. Next we define linear mapping (multiplication) $m : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ by $m(bP_K \otimes aP_L) = \lambda_n(bP_K \otimes a) \otimes P_L$ for $K, L \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}$. After some calculations (using (1.7)) one can check that $(\mathcal{C}, m)$ is an algebra with identity $P_\emptyset$. Moreover, there exists a unital homomorphism $\rho : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by $\rho(a\tilde{p}_K) = aP_K$ ((1.6) holds in $\mathcal{C}$). But P_K form a basis of $\mathcal{C}$ and hence $\tilde{p}_K$ are independent (over $\mathcal{A}$) in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. They also generate (due to (1.6)) $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ as the left module. $\square$.

Let the comultiplication in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be given by the comultiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ and (1.1) (it is well defined due to (1.5) and (1.9) – see remarks before (1.9)). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a bialgebra with a natural bialgebra epimorphism $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ given by $\pi(a) = a$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\pi(\tilde{p}_s) = p_s$.

We set $J = \ker \pi$, $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{\tilde{p}_s, \quad s \in \mathcal{I}\}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{\tilde{p}_J, \quad J \in \mathcal{I}_k\}$ (with basis $\tilde{p}_J$, $J \in \mathcal{I}_k$), $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^k = \oplus_{l=0}^k \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_l$ (cf. Lemma 1.1), $J^k = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap J$. Let J_k be some vector space such that $J^{k-1} \oplus J_k = J^k$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ($J_0 = \{0\}$). We have $J_k \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{k-1} \subset J_k \cap J^{k-1} = \{0\}$, so we can define $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(k)}$ as some vector space such that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{k-1} \oplus J_k \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(k)} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^k$. In particular, we can put $J^0 = J^1 = J_0 = J_1 = \{0\}$, $J_2 = J^2$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(0)} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 = \mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(1)} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \tilde{\Gamma}$.

We shall investigate J_2. Let $s \in J_2$. Then $s \in J$, $(\pi \otimes \pi)\Delta s = \Delta \pi s = 0$, $\Delta s \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes J + J \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. But also $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2$, $\Delta s \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1$. Using $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (\oplus J_k) \oplus (\oplus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(k)})$, $J = \oplus J_k$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(0)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(1)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(2)} \oplus J_2$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(0)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(1)}$, one gets

$$\Delta s \in \mathcal{A} \otimes J_2 \oplus J_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}. \tag{1.10}$$

We put $\dot{\Gamma}_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 / \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1$. We see that Δ induces a linear mapping

$$\Delta_2 : \dot{\Gamma}_2 \longrightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1)/(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1) \approx$$

$$\dot{\Gamma}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} \otimes \dot{\Gamma}_2 \oplus \dot{\Gamma} \otimes \dot{\Gamma}.$$

We get the decomposition $\Delta_2 = \Delta_{2L} + \Delta_{2R} + \tilde{\Delta}$, $\Delta_{2L} : \dot{\Gamma}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \dot{\Gamma}_2$, $\Delta_{2R} : \dot{\Gamma}_2 \longrightarrow \dot{\Gamma}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and $\tilde{\Delta} : \dot{\Gamma}_2 \longrightarrow \dot{\Gamma} \otimes \dot{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 1.2 *The elements* $[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j]$ *form a linear basis of* $(\dot{\Gamma}_2)_{inv}$*, hence a basis of the left module* $\dot{\Gamma}_2$*, while* $\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j$ *form a linear basis of* $(\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma})_{inv}$*, hence a basis of the left module* $\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$*.*

Moreover, $\xi : \dot{\Gamma}_2 \longrightarrow \dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$ *given by* $\xi([\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j]) = \dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j$ *defines an isomorphism of bicovariant bimodules.*

Proof. The elements $[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j]$ are basis of the left module $\dot{\Gamma}_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1$ (Lemma 1.1) and belong to $(\dot{\Gamma}_2)_{inv}$ while $\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j$ are basis of the left module $\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$ (they are linearly independent elements of $(\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma})_{inv}$ and generate the left module). Moreover,

$$[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j]a = (a * f_{js} * f_{im})[\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_s],$$

$$\Delta_{2L}[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j] = \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{js} \otimes [\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_s],$$
$$\Delta_{2R}[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j] = [\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j] \otimes I,$$

and similarly for $\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j$. Thus $(\dot{\Gamma}_2, \Delta_{2L}, \Delta_{2R})$ is a bicovariant bimodule isomorphic (by ξ) to $\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$. □.

In the following we shall identify x with $\xi(x)$ and $\dot{\Gamma}_2$ with $\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$. Let us recall that there exists a unique bicovariant bimodule isomorphism $\rho : \dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$ given by $\rho(\eta \otimes \omega) = \omega \otimes \eta$ where η is a right–invariant, while ω is a left–invariant element of $\dot{\Gamma}$ ($\rho = \sigma^{-1}$ where σ is given in Proposition 3.1 of [15]). Thus $\ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$ is a bicovariant subbimodule of $\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$.

We define

$$R_{ij,sm} = f_{im}(\Lambda_{js}). \tag{1.11}$$

Setting $a = \Lambda_{mn}$ in (1.5), we get

$$R \in \mathrm{Mor}(\Lambda \mathbin{\bigcirc\!\!\!\!\top} \Lambda, \Lambda \mathbin{\bigcirc\!\!\!\!\top} \Lambda). \tag{1.12}$$

Due to

$$\rho(\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j) = f_{im}(\Lambda_{js})\dot{p}_s \otimes \dot{p}_m \tag{1.13}$$

(similar proof as for (3.15) of [15]) R^T is the matrix of ρ for the basis $\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j$ $(i, j \in \mathcal{I})$ of $(\dot{\Gamma}_2)_{inv}$.

Proposition 1.3 *Let* K *be a right–covariant left submodule of* $\dot{\Gamma}_2$*,* $N = \dim\ K \in \mathbf{N}$*, and*

$$a^{\alpha}_{ij}(\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j), \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, N, \text{ form a linear basis of } K_{inv} \tag{1.14}$$

($a^{\alpha}_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$). Then K is a bicovariant bimodule iff there exists $g = (g_{\alpha\beta})^{N}_{\alpha,\beta=1} \in$ Rep H *such that*

$$a(\Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda) = g \cdot a \tag{1.15}$$

(we set $a_{\alpha,mn} = a^{\alpha}_{mn}$) and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}'$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$a^{\alpha}_{ij}(f_{js} * f_{im}) = \omega_{\alpha\beta} a^{\beta}_{ms}, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\omega_{\alpha\gamma}(bc) = \omega_{\alpha\beta}(b)\omega_{\beta\gamma}(c) \quad (b, c \in \mathcal{A}), \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta}(I) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{1.17}$$

In that case g is a quotient representation of $\Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda$, corresponding to K_{inv}:

$$\Delta_{2L}[a^{\alpha}_{ij}\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j] = g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes [a^{\beta}_{mn}\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_n]. \tag{1.18}$$

Moreover, $K \subset \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$ iff

$$a^{\alpha}(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = 0, \tag{1.19}$$

where $(a^{\alpha})_{mn} = a^{\alpha}_{mn}$.

Proof. If K is a bicovariant bimodule then $\Delta_{2L}K_{inv} \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes K_{inv}$. Therefore there exist $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that (1.18) holds. Using the definition and properties of Δ_{2L}, one gets (1.15) and that g is a representation of H. Conversely, (1.15) gives (1.18) and left invariance of K. Moreover, the right module condition for K means that for any $b \in \mathcal{A}$

$$a^{\alpha}_{ij}[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j]b = a^{\alpha}_{ij}(b * f_{js} * f_{im})[\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_s] =$$

$$b_{\alpha\beta}a^{\beta}_{ms}[\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_s]$$

for some $b_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}$. Setting $\omega_{\alpha\beta}(b) = e(b_{\alpha\beta})$ we get $\omega_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}'$ and (1.16)–(1.17) (we use $(bc)_{\alpha\gamma} = b_{\alpha\beta}c_{\beta\gamma}$, $I_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}I$). Conversely, (1.16)–(1.17) give the right module condition for K.

Due to (1.15) g is a quotient representation (see Appendix B of [6]) of $\Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda$ (due to (1.14), a is surjective).

Finally, (1.13) and (1.11) give

$$(\rho + \mathrm{id})(a^{\alpha}_{ij}\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j) = a^{\alpha}_{ij}(R_{ij,sm} + \delta_{is}\delta_{jm})\dot{p}_s \otimes \dot{p}_m$$

and the last statement holds. $\square$.

From now on we set $K = J_2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 \subset \dot{\Gamma}_2$. As left modules $K \approx J_2$ since $J_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}$. We shall see that K satisfies all the conditions of Proposition 1.3:

Proposition 1.4 *K is a bicovariant subbimodule of* $\ker\tilde{\Delta} = \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id}) \subset \dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma} = \dot{\Gamma}_2$.

Remark 1.5 *Thus in interesting situations ρ should have an eigenvalue -1 (cf [11], [8]).*

Proof. Since J is an ideal, J_2 is a bimodule, so is K. Due to (1.10), $\Delta_{2L}K \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes K$, $\Delta_{2R}K \subset K \otimes \mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{\Delta}K = 0$. Therefore $K \subset \ker\tilde{\Delta}$ is a bicovariant subbimodule of $\dot{\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\Gamma}$ (see Lemma 1.2). It remains to prove $\ker\tilde{\Delta} = \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$. Let $x = a_{ij}\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j$. If $x \in \ker\tilde{\Delta}$ then

$$0 = \tilde{\Delta} a_{ij}\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j = \Delta(a_{ij})[(\dot{p}_i \otimes I)(\Lambda_{js} \otimes \dot{p}_s) + (\Lambda_{is} \otimes \dot{p}_s)(\dot{p}_j \otimes I)]|_{\dot{\Gamma}\otimes\dot{\Gamma}} =$$

$$\Delta(a_{ij})\{[(\Lambda_{js} * f_{im}) + \Lambda_{is}\delta_{jm}] \otimes I\}\dot{p}_m \otimes \dot{p}_s.$$

Using the independence of $\dot{p}_i$ and acting by $\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}$, one gets

$$a_{ij}[f_{im}(\Lambda_{js}) + \delta_{is}\delta_{jm}] = 0. \tag{1.20}$$

Multiplying from the right by $\dot{p}_s \otimes \dot{p}_m$ and using (1.13), we obtain $(\rho + \mathrm{id})(x) = (\rho + \mathrm{id})(a_{ij}\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j) = 0$, i.e. $x \in \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$. Conversely, the last equality implies (1.20). Acting by Δ and multiplying from the right by $(\Lambda_{sn} \otimes I)(\dot{p}_m \otimes \dot{p}_n)$, we can get back $\tilde{\Delta} a_{ij}\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j = 0$, $x \in \ker\tilde{\Delta}$. $\square$.

We know that (1.14) holds for some $a_{ij}^{\alpha} \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $a_{ij}^{\alpha}(\dot{p}_i \otimes \dot{p}_j)$ ($\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$) form a basis of the left module K. Let

$$s^{\alpha} = a_{ij}^{\alpha}\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j + b_i^{\alpha}\tilde{p}_i + c^{\alpha} \tag{1.21}$$

be the corresponding basis elements of the left module J_2 ($J_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}$). We get

Proposition 1.6 *As left module* $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{p_i p_j, p_i, I : i, j \in \mathcal{I}\} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2/J_2$ *has dimension* $|\mathcal{I}|^2 + |\mathcal{I}| + 1 - \dim K$.

2 Properties of inhomogeneous quantum groups

Here we continue the investigations of the previous Section (assuming the conditions given at its beginning) and find the form of commutation relations in $\mathcal{B}$. As before $\mathrm{Poly}(G) = (\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ and $\mathrm{Poly}(H) = (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$. Moreover, we assume

a. Each representation of H is completely reducible

b. Λ is an irreducible representation of H

c. $\mathrm{Mor}(v \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} w, \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} v \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} w) = \{0\}$ for any two irreducible representations v, w of H.

The condition c. is used only for simplicity and will be removed in Remark 3.7.

We return to the investigation of (1.9). Due to the condition a., $a = u_{AB}$, $u \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$, $A, B = 1, \ldots, \dim\ u$, form a basis of $\mathcal{A}$. Setting $\phi_s(u_{AB}) = \phi_{sA,B}$, (1.9) is equivalent to

$$\Delta\phi_{sA,B} = (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u)_{sA,tC} \otimes \phi_{tC,B} + \phi_{sA,C} \otimes u_{CB}.$$

Multiplying both sides from the right by $\Delta(u^c_{DB}) = u^c_{DL} \otimes u^c_{LB}$ (where $u^c_{DB} = u^{-1}_{BD}$ etc.) and setting $\rho_{sAD} = \phi_{sA,B} u^{-1}_{BD}$, one gets

$$\Delta\rho_{sAD} = (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u^c)_{sAD,tCL} \otimes \rho_{tCL} + \rho_{sAD} \otimes I. \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u^c & \rho \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ is a representation of H. Using the condition a., there must exist a vector $\begin{pmatrix} w \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponding to the representation I. It means

$$\rho = w - (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u^c) w \tag{2.2}$$

(conversely, (2.2) implies (2.1)). We define $\eta_i \in \mathcal{A}'$ by $\eta_i(u_{AB}) = w_{iAB}$. Using (2.2), we get

$$\phi_s(u_{AB}) = \phi_{sA,B} = \rho_{sAD} u_{DB} = \eta_s(u_{AD}) u_{DB} - (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\tiny T}} u)_{sA,tL} \eta_t(u_{LB})$$

and (1.9) is equivalent to

$$\phi_s(a) = a * \eta_s - \Lambda_{st}(\eta_t * a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.3}$$

Due to c. ($v = u$, $w = I$), η_s are uniquely determined by ϕ_s. Inserting (2.3) to (1.7), we obtain $\eta_s(I) = 0$ and

$$ab * \eta_s - \Lambda_{sm}(\eta_m * ab) =$$

$$(a * f_{st})(b * \eta_t) - (a * f_{st})\Lambda_{tm}(\eta_m * b) + (a * \eta_s)b - \Lambda_{st}(\eta_t * a)b,$$

which (see (1.5)) we can write as

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} ab * \eta_s - (a * f_{st})(b * \eta_t) - (a * \eta_s)b = \\ \\ \Lambda_{sm}[\eta_m * ab - (f_{mt} * a)(\eta_t * b) - (\eta_m * a)b]. \end{array}\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

Setting $L^{vw}_{mAB,CD} = \eta_m(ab) - f_{mt}(a)\eta_t(b) - \eta_m(a)\epsilon(b)$ for $a = v_{AC}$, $b = w_{BD}$, $v, w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$, we can replace (2.4) by $L^{vw} \in \mathrm{Mor}(v \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} w, \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} v \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} w) = \{0\}$, so (1.9) is equivalent to

$$\eta_m(ab) = \eta_m(a)\epsilon(b) + f_{mt}(a)\eta_t(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \eta_m(I) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Combining (1.3) with (2.5),

$$\mathcal{A} \ni a \longrightarrow \rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} f(a) & \eta(a) \\ 0 & \epsilon(a) \end{pmatrix} \in M_{|\mathcal{I}|}(\mathbf{C}) \text{ is a unital homomorphism.} \tag{2.6}$$

We get

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra satisfying a.–c.. Then the general bialgebra $\mathcal{B}$ satisfying the conditions 1.-5. is equal $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}/J$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is the algebra with I generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\tilde{p}_s$ ($s \in \mathcal{I}$) with relations (1.6) where ϕ_s is given by (2.3) for f and η satisfying (1.5) and (2.6). Moreover, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a bialgebra with comultiplication given by the comultiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ and (1.1). J is an ideal in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\Delta J \subset J \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes J$, $\epsilon(J) = 0$, $J \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}$. Conversely, each such f, η and J give $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/J$ satisfying the conditions 1.-5..*

Proof. It follows from the previous considerations. $\Box$.

Let us recall that s^α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N = \dim\ K$, form a basis of the left module $J_2 = J \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2$. Due to (1.21) and (1.10),

$$\left.\begin{gathered}\Delta s^\alpha = a^\alpha_{ij} \Lambda_{im} \Lambda_{jn} \otimes \tilde{p}_m \tilde{p}_n + a^\alpha_{ij} \tilde{p}_i \tilde{p}_j \otimes I + \\ a^\alpha_{ij} (\Lambda_{im} \otimes \tilde{p}_m)(\tilde{p}_j \otimes I) + a^\alpha_{ij} (\tilde{p}_i \otimes I)(\Lambda_{jn} \otimes \tilde{p}_n) + \\ \Delta(b^\alpha_i)(\Lambda_{ij} \otimes \tilde{p}_j) + \Delta(b^\alpha_i)(\tilde{p}_i \otimes I) + \Delta(c^\alpha) \in \\ \mathcal{A} \otimes J_2 \oplus J_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}.\end{gathered}\right\} \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, the terms in $\tilde{\Gamma} \otimes \tilde{\Gamma}$ should cancel out, which is equivalent (cf the proof of Proposition 1.4) to (1.20) for $a = a^\alpha$, i.e. to (1.19). The equations (1.19) are down to earth formulation of the condition $K \subset \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$. Using that and (1.15), one gets

$$\Delta s^\alpha - s^\alpha \otimes I - g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes s^\beta \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes J_2 \oplus J_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{A}) = \{0\}.$$

Thus (2.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{gathered} g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes [-b^\beta_i \tilde{p}_i - c^\beta] + [-b^\alpha_i \tilde{p}_i - c^\alpha] \otimes I + \\ a^\alpha_{ij} \phi_i(\Lambda_{jn}) \otimes \tilde{p}_n + \Delta(b^\alpha_i)(\Lambda_{ij} \otimes \tilde{p}_j) + \\ \Delta(b^\alpha_i)(\tilde{p}_i \otimes I) + \Delta(c^\alpha) = 0. \end{gathered}$$

Using Lemma 1.1,

$$\Delta(b^\alpha_i) = b^\alpha_i \otimes I, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Delta(b^\alpha_i)\{[\Lambda_{ij} - g_{\alpha\beta} b^\beta_j + a^\alpha_{ik} \phi_i(\Lambda_{kj})] \otimes I\} = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\Delta(c^\alpha) = g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes c^\beta + c^\alpha \otimes I. \tag{2.10}$$

In virtue of (2.8), $b^\alpha_i \in \mathbf{C}$. Using (2.3) and (1.15), we can write (2.9) as

$$[b^\alpha_s + a^\alpha_{ik} \eta_i(\Lambda_{ks})] \Lambda_{sj} = g_{\alpha\beta} [b^\beta_j + a^\beta_{mr} \eta_m(\Lambda_{rj})].$$

Using the condition c. for $v = \Lambda$, $w = I$ (according to the condition a., g is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda$), we get $\mathrm{Mor}(\Lambda, g) = \{0\}$ and hence (2.9) is equivalent to

$$b^\alpha_s = -a^\alpha_{ik} \eta_i(\Lambda_{ks}). \tag{2.11}$$

Decomposing g into a direct sum of irreducible representations, c^α has also a decomposition into a direct sum. So we can solve (2.10) in each irreducible component and thus assume that g is irreducible. For any $v \in$ Irr H we set $\mathcal{A}_v = \text{span}\{v_{mn} : m, n = 1, \ldots, \dim\ v\}$. We know $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{v \in \text{Irr } H} \mathcal{A}_v$.
i) $g = I$, hence $\Delta(c^\alpha) = I \otimes c^\alpha + c^\alpha \otimes I$. Thus

$$\Delta c^\alpha \in (\oplus_{v\in\text{Irr } H} \mathcal{A}_v \otimes \mathcal{A}_v) \cap (\oplus_{v\in\text{Irr } H}(\mathcal{A}_I \otimes \mathcal{A}_v \oplus \mathcal{A}_v \otimes \mathcal{A}_I)) =$$

$$\mathcal{A}_I \otimes \mathcal{A}_I = \mathbf{C} I \otimes I,$$

$c^\alpha = \lambda I$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. That gives $\lambda = 2\lambda$, $\lambda = 0$, $c^\alpha = 0$.
ii) $g \in$ Irr H, $g \not\simeq I$. Then $c^\alpha = \sum c^\alpha_v$, $c^\alpha_v \in \mathcal{A}_v$ and (2.10) is equivalent to

$$\Delta c^\alpha_I = c^\alpha_I \otimes I \in \mathcal{A}_I \otimes \mathcal{A}_I,$$

$$0 = g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes c^\beta_I + c^\alpha_g \otimes I \in \mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_I,$$

$$\Delta c^\alpha_g = g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes c^\beta_g \in \mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_g,$$

$$\Delta c^\alpha_v = 0 \in \mathcal{A}_v \otimes \mathcal{A}_v, \qquad 0 = g_{\alpha\beta} \otimes c^\beta_v \in \mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_v,$$

$$0 = c^\alpha_v \otimes I \in \mathcal{A}_v \otimes \mathcal{A}_I, \quad v \in \text{ Irr } H, \quad v \not\simeq I, g.$$

Solving these relations, one gets $c^\alpha_I \in \mathbf{C}$, $c^\alpha_g = -g_{\alpha\beta} c^\beta_I$, $c^\alpha_v = 0$ for $v \not\simeq I, g$, $v \in$ Irr H. Then $c^\alpha = c^\alpha_I - g_{\alpha\beta} c^\beta_I$. It holds also in the case i) and for whole g.

Since a^α are linearly independent, there exist $T_{mn} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $c^\alpha_I = a^\alpha_{mn} T_{mn}$. One gets $c^\alpha = a^\alpha_{mn}(T_{mn} - \Lambda_{ma}\Lambda_{nb}T_{ab})$ (we have used (1.15)). Concluding,

$$s^\alpha = a^\alpha_{ij}(\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\tilde{p}_s + T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn}) \tag{2.12}$$

and we get ($N = \dim\ K$)

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Then $J_2 = J \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule and as the left module it has a basis (2.12), $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$, for some a^α_{ij}, $T_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}, N \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, a^α satisfy (1.19), (1.15) and (1.16)–(1.17) for some $g \in$ Rep H and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}'$ $(\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, N)$.*

Theorem 2.3 *Let* $j_2 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2$ *be the left module generated by (2.12) for some* a^α_{ij}, $T_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$, *such that* a^α $(\alpha = 1, \ldots, N)$ *are linearly independent and satisfy (1.19), (1.15) and (1.16)–(1.17) for some* $g \in \mathrm{Rep}\ H$ *and* $\omega_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}'$. *Then*

$$\Delta j_2 \subset (j_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}) \oplus (\mathcal{A} \otimes j_2), \qquad j_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Moreover, j_2 *is a bimodule if and only if*

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(\tau_\beta * b) = b * \tau_\alpha, \quad b \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\tau_\alpha = a^\alpha_{ij}\tau_{ij}$,

$$\tau_{ij} = \eta_j * \eta_i - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\eta_s + T_{ij}\epsilon - (f_{jn} * f_{im})T_{mn}$$

and g *is given by (1.15).*

Proof. The first statement follows from the computations before Theorem 2.2. Due to Proposition 1.3, $j_2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1$ is a bicovariant bimodule contained in $\ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$. In order to prove the last statement we compute

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} s^\alpha b = a^\alpha_{ij}[\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_j - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\tilde{p}_s + T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn}]b = \\[2ex] a^\alpha_{ij}\{\tilde{p}_i[(b * f_{js})\tilde{p}_s + \phi_j(b)] - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\tilde{p}_s b + (T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn})b\} = \\[2ex] a^\alpha_{ij}\{(b * f_{js} * f_{im})\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_s + \phi_i(b * f_{jr})\tilde{p}_r + [\phi_j(b) * f_{ir}]\tilde{p}_r + \\[2ex] \phi_i(\phi_j(b)) - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})(b * f_{sr})\tilde{p}_r - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\phi_s(b) + \\[2ex] (T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn})b\}. \end{array}\right\} \tag{2.15}$$

Using (1.16), we get

$$(b * \xi_{\alpha\beta})s_\beta = a^\alpha_{ij}(b * f_{jn} * f_{im})(\tilde{p}_m\tilde{p}_n - \eta_m(\Lambda_{nr})\tilde{p}_r + T_{mn} - \Lambda_{ma}\Lambda_{nb}T_{ab}), \tag{2.16}$$

hence

$$s^\alpha b - (b * \xi_{\alpha\beta})s^\beta = A_{\alpha r}\tilde{p}_r + B_\alpha \tag{2.17}$$

for some $A_{\alpha r}$, $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. We conclude that j_2 is a right module if and only if (2.17) belongs to j_2 for any b, which means $A_{\alpha r} = B_\alpha = 0$ ($j_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}$).

Using (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), (2.3) and (1.3), one obtains

$$A_{\alpha r} = a^{\alpha}_{ij}\{b * f_{jr} * \eta_i - \Lambda_{im}(\eta_m * b * f_{jr}) +$$
$$b * \eta_j * f_{ir} - (\Lambda_{js} * f_{im})(\eta_s * b * f_{mr}) -$$
$$\eta_i(\Lambda_{js})(b * f_{sr}) + (b * f_{jn} * f_{im})\eta_m(\Lambda_{nr})\}.$$

In virtue of (1.19)

$$a^{\alpha}_{ij}(\Lambda_{js} * f_{im}) = a^{\alpha}_{ij} f_{im}(\Lambda_{jk})\Lambda_{ks} =$$
$$a^{\alpha}_{ij} R_{ij,km}\Lambda_{ks} = -a^{\alpha}_{km}\Lambda_{ks}$$

so the second and the fourth terms in $A_{\alpha r}$ cancel. On the other hand, (1.5), (2.5) imply

$$\left.\begin{aligned} 0 = \eta_i\{(b * f_{js})\Lambda_{sr} - \Lambda_{js}(f_{sr} * b)\} = \\ \eta_i(b * f_{jr}) + f_{im}(b * f_{js})\eta_m(\Lambda_{sr}) - \\ \eta_i(\Lambda_{js}) f_{sr}(b) - f_{im}(\Lambda_{js})\eta_m(f_{sr} * b), \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.18}$$

hence due to (1.19)

$$\left.\begin{aligned} a^{\alpha}_{ij}[(f_{jr} * \eta_i)(b) + (f_{js} * f_{im})(b)\eta_m(\Lambda_{sr}) - \\ \eta_i(\Lambda_{js}) f_{sr}(b) + (\eta_j * f_{ir})(b)] = 0. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore also other terms in $A_{\alpha r}$ vanish, $A_{\alpha r} = 0$ for each $b \in \mathcal{A}$.

In virtue of (2.3), (1.7) and (1.5),

$$B_{\alpha} = a^{\alpha}_{ij}[\phi_i(b * \eta_j) - (\Lambda_{jm} * f_{is})\phi_s(\eta_m * b) -$$
$$\phi_i(\Lambda_{js})(\eta_s * b) - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\phi_s(b) + (T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn})b -$$
$$(b * f_{jn} * f_{im})(T_{mn} - \Lambda_{ma}\Lambda_{nb}T_{ab})] =$$
$$a^{\alpha}_{ij} \cdot [b * \eta_j * \eta_i - \Lambda_{im}(\eta_m * b * \eta_j) -$$
$$f_{is}(\Lambda_{jl})\Lambda_{lm}(\eta_m * b * \eta_s) + f_{is}(\Lambda_{jl})\Lambda_{lm}\Lambda_{sn}(\eta_n * \eta_m * b) -$$
$$\eta_i(\Lambda_{jm})\Lambda_{ms}(\eta_s * b) + \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}\eta_m(\Lambda_{ns})(\eta_s * b) -$$
$$\eta_i(\Lambda_{js})(b * \eta_s) + \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\Lambda_{sm}(\eta_m * b) +$$

$$T_{ij}b - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn}b - (b * f_{jn} * f_{im})T_{mn} +$$
$$\Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}(f_{nc} * f_{ma} * b)T_{ac}].$$

The fifth and the eight terms cancel. Using (1.19), the second and the third terms also give 0. The terms 1,7,9 and 11 produce $b * \tau_\alpha$. In virtue of $a^\alpha_{ij}\Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn} = g_{\alpha\beta}a^\beta_{mn}$ (see (1.15)) and (1.19) the terms 4,6,10 and 12 yield $-g_{\alpha\beta}(\tau_\beta * b)$. Thus $B_\alpha = b * \tau_\alpha - g_{\alpha\beta}(\tau_\beta * b)$ and our Theorem follows. $\Box$.

Using the notation of Theorem 2.3 one has

Proposition 2.4

$$\tau_\alpha(ab) = \omega_{\alpha\beta}(a)\tau_\beta(b) + \tau_\alpha(a)\epsilon(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}), \quad \tau_\alpha(I) = 0.$$

Proof. We have (see (1.3), (2.5))

$$\tau_{ij}(ab) = (\eta_j * \eta_i)(ab) - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})[f_{sr}(a)\eta_r(b) + \eta_s(a)\epsilon(b)] +$$
$$T_{ij}\epsilon(a)\epsilon(b) - (f_{js} * f_{im})(a)(f_{sr} * f_{ml})(b)T_{lr}.$$

But (we use (2.5), (2.19) and (1.16))

$$a^\alpha_{ij}(\eta_j * \eta_i)(ab) = a^\alpha_{ij}[(\eta_j * \eta_i)(a)\epsilon(b) + (f_{jr} * \eta_i)(a)\eta_r(b) +$$
$$(\eta_j * f_{ir})(a)\eta_r(b) + (f_{jr} * f_{is})(a)(\eta_r * \eta_s)(b)] =$$
$$a^\alpha_{ij}[(\eta_j * \eta_i)(a)\epsilon(b) + \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})f_{sr}(a)\eta_r(b) -$$
$$(f_{js} * f_{im})(a)\eta_m(\Lambda_{sr})\eta_r(b) + (f_{js} * f_{im})(a)(\eta_s * \eta_m)(b)] =$$
$$a^\alpha_{ij}[(\eta_j * \eta_i)(a)\epsilon(b) + \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})f_{sr}(a)\eta_r(b)] +$$
$$\omega_{\alpha\beta}(a)a^\beta_{ms}[(\eta_s * \eta_m)(b) - \eta_m(\Lambda_{sr})\eta_r(b)].$$

Combining these facts, we get the first assertion. The second one is trivial.$\Box$.

Remark 2.5 *Proposition 2.4 and (1.17) give that*

$$\zeta : \mathcal{A} \ni a \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \omega(a) & \tau(a) \\ 0 & \epsilon(a) \end{pmatrix} \in M_{N+1}(\mathbf{C})$$

is a unital homomorphism, where $\omega(a) = (\omega_{\alpha\beta}(a))^N_{\alpha,\beta=1}$, $\tau(a) = (\tau_\alpha(a))^N_{\alpha=1}$.

Remark 2.6 *Let S be a set generating $\mathcal{A}$ as algebra with unity. One can prove that (2.19) for $b \in S$ implies (2.19) for $b \in \mathcal{A}$ (due to (2.17) $A_{\alpha r} = 0$ for b, b' implies $A_{\alpha r} = 0$ for bb'). Similarly, (2.14) for $b \in S$ implies (2.14) for $b \in \mathcal{A}$ (it is equivalent to the right module condition which suffices to check only for $b \in S$).*

3 Structure of inhomogeneous quantum groups

Here we continue the investigations of two preceding Sections (including the assumptions made at their beginnings) and find the exact form and 'size' of inhomogenous quantum groups. From now on we shall consider the most natural situation (which is the case for quantum Poincaré groups):

$$R^2 = \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} \quad \text{and} \quad x(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \operatorname{span}\{a^\alpha : \ \alpha = 1, \ldots, \dim\ K\}$$

(cf (1.11) and (1.19)). In other words: $\rho^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $K = J_2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$. The second condition means that we have as many relations $a^\alpha_{ij} p_i p_j + b^\alpha_i p_i + c^\alpha = 0$ as it is allowed by (1.19) (for $b^\alpha_i = c^\alpha = 0$ p_i would be R-symmetric: $R_{kl,ij} p_i p_j = p_k p_l$).

We set

$$A_3 = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} - R \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes R + (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R) +$$
$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1}) - (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1}),$$

$F_{ijk,m} = \tau_{ij}(\Lambda_{km})$, $Z_{ij,m} = \eta_i(\Lambda_{jm})$. The main result of the Section is contained in

Theorem 3.1 *Let f, η satisfy (1.5), (2.6) and $\rho^2 = \mathrm{id}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

i) J is as in Theorem 2.1 with $K = J_2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$

ii) J is the ideal generated by

$$s_{kl} = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij}(\tilde{p}_i \tilde{p}_j - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\tilde{p}_s + T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn})$$

for some complex numbers $\{T_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathcal{I}}$ satisfying (2.14),

$$A_3 F = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)T \in \operatorname{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda). \tag{3.2}$$

If the condition i) or ii) is satisfied then

$$J_2 = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{s_{kl} : \ k, l \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{3.3}$$

and $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/J$ *satisfies the conditions 1.-5..*

Proof. One has

$$R^2 = \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Let J be as in Theorem 2.1, $J_2 = J \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2$ and $K = J_2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \ker(\rho + id)$. All the conditions of Proposition 1.3 are satisfied in that case. Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 give (2.14). Moreover (cf the beginning of the Section),

$$\mathrm{span}\{a^\alpha : \ \alpha = 1, \ldots, \dim K\} = \mathrm{span}\{a^{kl} : \ k, l = 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{I}|\}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $(a^{kl})_{ij} = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij}$. Thus (3.3) is satisfied (see Theorem 2.2). Hence, in $\mathcal{B}$

$$p_k p_l = R_{kl,ij} p_i p_j + r_{kl},$$

where

$$r_{kl} = c_{kl,s} p_s + M_{kl}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$c_{kl,s} = -(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij} \eta_i(\Lambda_{js}) \tag{3.7}$$

(i.e. $c = -(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})Z$),

$$M_{kl} = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij}(T_{ij} - W_{ij}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$W_{ij} = \Lambda_{im} \Lambda_{jn} T_{mn}. \tag{3.9}$$

In short,

$$p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p = R(p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p) + r. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore

$$p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p = (p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p) \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p = (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} (p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p)) + r \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p =$$

$$(R \otimes \mathbf{1})[(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)((p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p) \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p) + p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} r] + r \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p =$$

$$(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p) + (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(r \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p) +$$

$$(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(p \textcircled{\scriptsize T} r) + r \textcircled{\scriptsize T} p.$$

On the other hand,

$$p\textcircled{T}p\textcircled{T}p = p\textcircled{T}(p\textcircled{T}p) = (\mathbf{1}\otimes R)((p\textcircled{T}p)\textcircled{T}p) + p\textcircled{T}r =$$
$$(\mathbf{1}\otimes R)[(R\otimes\mathbf{1})(p\textcircled{T}(p\textcircled{T}p)) + r\textcircled{T}p] + p\textcircled{T}r =$$
$$(\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(p\textcircled{T}p\textcircled{T}p) + (\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1})(p\textcircled{T}r) +$$
$$(\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(r\textcircled{T}p) + p\textcircled{T}r.$$

But the braid equation for ρ (see (3.8) of [15]) implies

$$(R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}\otimes R). \tag{3.11}$$

Thus

$$A(r\textcircled{T}p) = B(p\textcircled{T}r), \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$A = (R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}\otimes R) - \mathbf{1}\otimes R + \mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}, \tag{3.13}$$
$$B = (\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1}) - R\otimes\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}. \tag{3.14}$$

Using $r = cp + M$ and (1.6), we can rewrite (3.12) as

$$H(p\textcircled{T}p) + Lp + N = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$H = A(c\otimes\mathbf{1}) - B(\mathbf{1}\otimes c), \tag{3.16}$$
$$L_{ijk,s} = A_{ijk,mns}M_{mn} - B_{ijk,mnl}(M_{nl} * f_{ms}), \tag{3.17}$$
$$N_{ijk} = -B_{ijk,mnl}\phi_m(M_{nl}). \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore (see (3.3)),

$$H(\tilde{p}\textcircled{T}\tilde{p}) + L\tilde{p} + N = D[(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(\tilde{p}\textcircled{T}\tilde{p}) + c\tilde{p} + M] \tag{3.19}$$

for some matrix D, which thus satisfies $H = D(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})$. It exists iff

$$H(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = 0 \tag{3.20}$$

and can be chosen as $D = -\frac{1}{2}H$. Consequently, (3.12) is equivalent to (3.20),

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}Hc, \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$N = -\frac{1}{2}HM. \tag{3.22}$$

Let us now consider (3.20)-(3.22) as abstract conditions for η_i, T_{kl}. We shall prove that (3.20) follows from the previous conditions. By virtue of (2.19) for $b = \Lambda_{kl}$,

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{nt,ij}[f_{jr}(\Lambda_{ka})\eta_i(\Lambda_{al}) + f_{js}(\Lambda_{ka})f_{im}(\Lambda_{al})\eta_m(\Lambda_{sr}) -$$

$$\eta_i(\Lambda_{js})f_{sr}(\Lambda_{kl}) + \eta_j(\Lambda_{ka})f_{ir}(\Lambda_{al})] = 0,$$

hence

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} [(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) \otimes \mathbf{1}]\{(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(Z \otimes \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes Z) - \\ (Z \otimes \mathbf{1})R + (\mathbf{1} \otimes Z)R\} = 0. \end{array}\right\} \tag{3.23}$$

Multiplying from the left by A and using

$$A((\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - R) \otimes \mathbf{1}) = B(\mathbf{1} \otimes (\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - R)) = A_3, \tag{3.24}$$

$$A_3(\mathbf{1} \otimes R) = -A_3, \qquad A_3(R \otimes \mathbf{1}) = -A_3 \tag{3.25}$$

(it follows from (3.4) and (3.11)), we get

$$A_3(\mathbf{1} \otimes Z - Z \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} + R) = 0. \tag{3.26}$$

But in virtue of (3.16) and (3.24)

$$H = A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z) \tag{3.27}$$

and (3.20) follows.

Now we shall consider (3.21). One has

$$W_{ij} * f_{ms} = (\Lambda_{ia}\Lambda_{jb}T_{ab}) * f_{ms} =$$

$$f_{mn}(\Lambda_{ic})f_{ns}(\Lambda_{jd})\Lambda_{ca}\Lambda_{db}T_{ab} = [(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(W \otimes \mathbf{1})]_{mij,s},$$

$$T_{ij} * f_{ms} = \delta_{ms}T_{ij} = (\mathbf{1} \otimes T)_{mij,s},$$

hence (see (3.17), (3.8), (3.24))

$$L = A_3((W - T) \otimes \mathbf{1}) - A_3(W \otimes \mathbf{1}) + A_3(\mathbf{1} \otimes T) = A_3(\mathbf{1} \otimes T - T \otimes \mathbf{1}). \tag{3.28}$$

Using (3.20) and (3.27),

$$\frac{1}{2}Hc = HZ = A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)Z. \tag{3.29}$$

Moreover,

$$F_{ijk,m} = \tau_{ij}(\Lambda_{km}) = \eta_j(\Lambda_{ks})\eta_i(\Lambda_{sm}) -$$
$$\eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\eta_s(\Lambda_{km}) + T_{ij}\delta_{km} - f_{jn}(\Lambda_{ks})f_{ir}(\Lambda_{sm})T_{rn}.$$

Thus

$$F = (\mathbf{1} \otimes Z)Z - (Z \otimes \mathbf{1})Z + T \otimes \mathbf{1} - (\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes T), \tag{3.30}$$

$$-A_3F = \frac{1}{2}Hc + L,$$

and (3.21) is equivalent to (3.1).

Finally, we investigate (3.22). According to (1.7) and (2.3),

$$\phi_m(W_{ij}) = (\Lambda_{ia} * f_{ms})\phi_s(\Lambda_{jb})T_{ab} + \phi_m(\Lambda_{ia})\Lambda_{jb}T_{ab},$$

$$\phi_s(\Lambda_{jb}) = \eta_s(\Lambda_{jc})\Lambda_{cb} - \Lambda_{sr}\Lambda_{jk}\eta_r(\Lambda_{kb}).$$

Setting $X_{mij} = \phi_m(W_{ij})$, one gets

$$X = (R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}\otimes Z)W + (Z\otimes\mathbf{1})W - (R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda)(\mathbf{1}\otimes Z)T - (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda)(Z\otimes\mathbf{1})T.$$

Using (3.18), (3.8), (3.24) and (1.12), we obtain

$$N = -A_3X = -A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)W + (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda)A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)T.$$

Due to (3.20) and (3.27)

$$\frac{1}{2}HM = A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)(W - T).$$

Therefore

$$N + \frac{1}{2}HM = (\Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda \mathbin{\textcircled{$\top$}} \Lambda)m - m,$$

where $m = A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)T$ and (3.22) is equivalent to (3.2). Thus the condition i) implies (3.3), (2.14), (3.1) and (3.2) for some complex numbers T_{ij} $(i, j \in \mathcal{I})$.

Let us now assume (2.14), (3.1) and (3.2). We define j_2 as the right hand side of (3.3). Thus $j_2/\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = K = \ker(\rho + \mathrm{id})$. In virtue of Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 2.3 we get (2.13) and the bimodule property of j_2. Let j be the ideal generated by j_2. Then $\Delta j \subset j \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes j$. Moreover, $\Delta\tilde{p} = \tilde{p} \textcircled{\tiny $\perp$} I + \Lambda \textcircled{\tiny $\perp$} \tilde{p}$ implies $e(\tilde{p}) = 0$, hence $e(j_2) = 0$, $e(j) = 0$. The previous computations show that (3.12) holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}/j_2$. We shall show $j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}$, $j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 = j_2$. Therefore j is as in Theorem 2.1 and $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/j$ satisfies the conditions 1.-5.. Furthermore, we will prove that if J satisfies the condition i) and $J \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 = j_2$ then $J = j$. Thus the proof of the Theorem will be finished.

We set $R_k = \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes R \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(n-k-1)}$ (cf the notation in the Introduction), $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, $R_\pi = R_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot R_{i_s}$ for a permutation $\pi \in \Pi_n$ with a minimal decomposition into transpositions

$$\pi = t_{i_1} \ldots t_{i_s}. \tag{3.31}$$

Due to (3.11), R_π is well defined. We set

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} R_\pi.$$

Moreover, we put $r_{nk} = p^{\textcircled{\tiny $\top$}(k-1)} \textcircled{\tiny $\top$} r \textcircled{\tiny $\top$} p^{\textcircled{\tiny $\top$}(n-k-1)}$ (see (3.6)),

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} r_{n\pi} = r_{ni_1} + R_{i_1} r_{ni_2} + R_{i_1} R_{i_2} r_{ni_3} + \ldots + \\ \\ R_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot R_{i_{s-1}} r_{ni_s}, \end{array}\right\} \tag{3.32}$$

(we choose some decomposition (3.31) for each π), $r_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_\pi r_{n\pi}$. We shall prove the following

Proposition 3.2 *Let j be the ideal generated by (3.3). We assume (3.12) in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}/j_2$ and (2.14). Then j as a left module is generated by matrix elements of*

$$(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n)(\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{\tiny $\top$} n} - r_n). \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. In virtue of Theorem 2.3, (3.3) is an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule and as a left module it is generated by matrix elements of $(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - R)\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{\tiny $\top$} 2} - r$. Therefore j is the left module generated by

$$(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes m} - R_k)\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{\tiny $\top$} m} - r_{mk}, \tag{3.34}$$

$m = 2, 3, \ldots$, $k = 1, \ldots, m-1$. We set j_n as the left module generated by (3.34) for $m = 2, \ldots, n$ (for $n = 2$ it coincides with the old definition of j_2). Thus $\mathcal{A} j_n \subset j_n, \quad j_n \mathcal{A} \subset j_n, \quad j_n \tilde{p}_i \subset j_{n+1}, \quad \tilde{p}_i j_n \subset j_{n+1}$. Moreover,

$$\tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} \equiv R_k \tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} + r_{nk} \quad (\text{mod}.j),$$

$$\tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} \equiv R_{i_1}(R_{i_2} \tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} + r_{ni_2}) + r_{ni_1} \quad (mod.j), \qquad \text{etc.} \ ,$$

$$\tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} \equiv R_\pi \tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} + r_{n\pi} \quad (mod.j), \quad \tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} \equiv S_n \tilde{p}^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top n} + r_n \quad (mod.j).$$

For any minimal decomposition (3.31) we set $r_{n\pi}^{(i)}$ as the right hand side of (3.32), where $i = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$. We shall prove

$$r_{n\pi}^{(i)} \equiv r_{n\pi}^{(i')} \ (\text{mod}.j_{n-1}) \tag{3.35}$$

for any two such decompositions i, i'. But i, i' can be obtained one from another by a finite number of steps of the following 2 kinds:

(i) we replace $\ldots t_k t_l \ldots$ by $\ldots t_l t_k \ldots$ for $|k - l| > 1$,

(ii) we replace $\ldots t_k t_{k+1} t_k \ldots$ by $\ldots t_{k+1} t_k t_{k+1} \ldots$.

Thus it suffices to check (3.35) for each of these two cases.
ad (i). We may assume $k < l - 1$. One has

$$(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - R_k) r_{nl} = (\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - R_k) p^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top (l-1)} \bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top\, r \bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top\, p^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top (n-l-1)} \equiv$$

$$p^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top (k-1)} \bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top\, r \bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top\, p^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top (l-k-2)} \bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top\, r \bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top\, p^{\bigcirc\mkern-14mu\top (n-l-1)} \equiv (\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - R_l) r_{nk} \quad (\text{mod}.j_{n-1}).$$

Thus $r_{nk} + R_k r_{nl} \equiv r_{nl} + R_l r_{nk} \quad (\text{mod}.j_{n-1})$ and (3.35) follows.
ad (ii). In virtue of (3.12)

$$r_{nk} + R_k r_{n,k+1} + R_k R_{k+1} r_{nk} \equiv$$

$$r_{n,k+1} + R_{k+1} r_{nk} + R_{k+1} R_k r_{n,k+1} \quad (\text{mod}.j_{n-1})$$

and (3.35) follows also in this case.

Thus in formula (3.32) we can use any minimal decomposition (3.31) for computations modulo j_{n-1}. We shall prove

$$R_k r_n \equiv r_n - r_{nk} \quad (\text{mod}.j_{n-1}), \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots. \tag{3.36}$$

Let $\pi \in \Pi_n$ be such that $\pi^{-1}(k) < \pi^{-1}(k+1)$ and (3.31) be a minimal decomposition. Then $\pi' = t_k\pi$ satisfies ${\pi'}^{-1}(k) > {\pi'}^{-1}(k{+}1)$ and has minimal decomposition $\pi' = t_k t_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{i_s}$. In such a way we get all π' such that ${\pi'}^{-1}(k) > {\pi'}^{-1}(k+1)$, each one exactly once. Due to (3.32) and (3.35),

$$r_{n\pi'} \equiv R_k r_{n\pi} + r_{nk} \quad (\mathrm{mod.} j_{n-1}), \tag{3.37}$$

$R_{\pi'} = R_k R_\pi$. Multiplying both sides by $R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n}$ and using

$$R_k r_{nk} = -r_{nk} \tag{3.38}$$

(it follows from $Rr = -r$), we get

$$(R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})(r_{n\pi} + r_{n\pi'}) \equiv -2r_{nk} \quad (\mathrm{mod.} j_{n-1}),$$

$(R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})(R_\pi + R_{\pi'}) = 0$. Thus

$$(R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})r_n = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_\pi (R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})(r_{n\pi} + r_{n\pi'}) \equiv -r_{nk} \quad (mod. j_{n-1})$$

and (3.36) is proved. Moreover,

$$(R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})S_n = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_\pi (R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})(R_\pi + R_{\pi'}) = 0. \tag{3.39}$$

Thus $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_\pi R_\pi S_n = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_\pi S_n = S_n$. Using (3.36), (3.37) and mathematical induction w.r.t. the number of transpositions in a minimal decomposition of π, one gets $R_\pi r_n \equiv r_n - r_{n\pi}$ $(mod. j_{n-1})$. Therefore

$$S_n r_n \equiv r_n - \frac{1}{n!}\sum_\pi r_{n\pi} = r_n - r_n = 0 \quad (\mathrm{mod.} j_{n-1}). \tag{3.40}$$

Using $S_n \tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} n} \equiv \tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} n} - r_n$ (mod.j) and (3.40), $(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n)\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} n} \equiv r_n \equiv (\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n)r_n(\mathrm{mod.} j)$. Thus the elements (3.33) belong to j.

Let $\tilde{j}$ be the left module generated by (3.33). Then $\tilde{j} \subset j$. We shall prove by mathematical induction that $j_n \subset \tilde{j}$. It is true for $n = 2$ since

$$(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - R)\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} 2} - r = 2(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - S_2)(\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} 2} - r_2) \tag{3.41}$$

(we use $S_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}R$, $r_2 = \frac{1}{2}r$, $S_2 r_2 = 0$). If it is true for $n-1$ then using (3.36) and (3.39), we get

$$(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - R_k)\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} n} - r_{nk} \equiv (\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - R_k)(\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{T} n} - r_n) =$$

$$(R_k - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})(S_n - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n})(\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{$\top$} n} - r_n) \equiv 0 \quad (mod. \tilde{j})$$

and $j_n \subset \tilde{j}$. Therefore $j \subset \tilde{j}$, $j = \tilde{j}$. $\square$.

We set $S_0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Let $\alpha' = \{{\alpha'}_{in} : \ i = 1, \ldots, \dim S_n\}$ be a basis of im S_n, $\beta' = \{{\beta'}_{jn} : \ j = 1, \ldots, \dim(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n)\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{im}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n)$. Then $\alpha' \sqcup \beta'$ is a basis of $(\mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|})^{\otimes n}$. We denote by $\alpha \sqcup \beta$ the dual basis. In particular,

$$\alpha^{in}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n) = 0. \tag{3.42}$$

We set $\mathcal{B}' = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/j$ and ${p'}_k = \lambda(\tilde{p}_k)$ where $\lambda : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ is the canonical mapping.

Corollary 3.3 *Let* $K_N = \{\beta^{in}(\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{$\top$} n} - r_n) : \ i = 1, \ldots, \dim(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n), \ n = 2, 3, \ldots, N\}$, ${L'}_N = \{\alpha^{in}(p^{\textcircled{$\top$} n}) : \ i = 1, \ldots, \dim \ S_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$. *Then* K_∞ *is a basis of* j, ${L'}_\infty$ *is a basis of* $\mathcal{B}'$, K_N *is a basis of* $j^N = j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$, ${L'}_N$ *is a basis of*

$$\mathcal{B}'^N = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{{p'}_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot {p'}_{i_n} : \ i_1, \ldots, i_n = 1, \ldots, |\mathcal{I}|, \ n = 0, 1, \ldots, N\}$$

(we treat $j, j^N, \mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B}'^N$ *as the left modules).*

Proof.

$$\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n = \sum_i {\beta'}_{in} \beta^{in}, \qquad \beta^{jn}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} - S_n) = \beta^{jn}, \tag{3.43}$$

hence j is the left module generated by K_∞. On the other hand, a finite combination $\sum a_{in} \beta^{in}(\tilde{p}^{\textcircled{$\top$} n} - r_n)$, $a_{in} \in \mathcal{A}$, belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$ iff $a_{in} = 0$ for $n > N$ (Lemma 1.1 and linear independence of β^{in} for given n). Therefore j^N is generated by K_N and (taking $N = 0$) elements of K_∞ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, K_∞ is a basis of j, K_N is a basis of j^N. Using $\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} = \sum {\alpha'}_{in} \alpha^{in} + \sum {\beta'}_{in} \beta^{in}$, $K_N \sqcup \{\alpha^{in}(\tilde{p})^{\textcircled{$\top$} n} : \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim \ S_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ is a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$, $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\infty = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$). Thus $\mathcal{B}'^N = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N / j = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N / j^N$ has a basis ${L'}_N$, $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ($\mathcal{B}'^\infty = \mathcal{B}'$). $\square$.

Corollary 3.4 *The left module* $j^N = j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^N$ *is generated by (3.33) for* $n = 2, 3, \ldots, N$. *In particular,* $j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1 = \{0\}$, $j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 = j_2$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.3, (3.43) and (3.41). $\square$.

Proposition 3.5 *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, if J satisfies the condition i) of Theorem 3.1 and $J \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^2 = j_2$ then $J = j$.*

Proof. Clearly $j \subset J$. Let $J' = J/j \subset \mathcal{B}'$ and N be the minimal number such that ${J'}^N = J' \cap {\mathcal{B}'}^N \neq \{0\}$. Therefore $N \geq 3$, $\Delta {J'}^N \subset {J'}^N \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes {J'}^N$. Let $0 \neq x \in {J'}^N$. Then

$$x = \sum_{\substack{i = 1, \ldots, \dim\ S_n \\ n \leq N}} a_{in} \alpha^{in} {p'}^{\textcircled{$\top$} n}.$$

We set ${\mathcal{B}'}_n = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathrm{span}\{\alpha^{in} {p'}^{\textcircled{$\top$} n} :\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim\ S_n\}$, $\mathcal{B}' = \oplus_{n=o}^{\infty} {\mathcal{B}'}_n$. The component of Δx belonging to ${\mathcal{B}'}_{N-1} \otimes {\mathcal{B}'}_1$ equals 0. Thus

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=1,\ldots,\dim S_N} \Delta(a_{iN}) \alpha^{iN}_{j_1 \ldots j_N} \times$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} {p'}_{j_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot {p'}_{j_{k-1}} \Lambda_{j_k m} {p'}_{j_{k+1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot {p'}_{j_N} \otimes {p'}_m (\mathrm{mod.}\ {\mathcal{B}'}^{N-2} \otimes {\mathcal{B}'}_1).$$

In short,

$$0 \equiv \sum_i \Delta(a_{iN}) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha^{iN} \left[({p'}^{\textcircled{$\top$}(k-1)} \textcircled{$\top$} \Lambda \textcircled{$\top$} {p'}^{\textcircled{$\top$}(N-k)}) \textcircled{$\perp$} p' \right].$$

Since (1.6) holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, $p' \textcircled{$\top$} \Lambda \equiv R(\Lambda \textcircled{$\top$} p')$ (mod.$\mathcal{A}$). Using (3.42), $\alpha^{iN} = \alpha^{iN} S_N = \alpha^{iN} S_N R_k = \alpha^{iN} R_k$ and all components in the second sum are equal modulo ${\mathcal{B}'}^{N-2} \otimes {\mathcal{B}'}_1$. We get

$$0 \equiv \sum_i \Delta(a_{iN}) \alpha^{iN} [(p' \textcircled{$\top$} \ldots \textcircled{$\top$} p' \textcircled{$\top$} \Lambda) \otimes p'],$$

$$0 \equiv \sum_i a^{(1)}_{iN} \alpha^{iN}_{j_1 \ldots j_N} {p'}_{j_1} \ldots {p'}_{j_{N-1}} \Lambda_{j_N m} \otimes a^{(2)}_{iN} \quad (\mathrm{mod.}\ {\mathcal{B}'}^{N-2} \otimes \mathcal{A}).$$

Acting by $\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon$ and multiplying by $\Lambda^{-1}_{mk} {p'}_k$, one has

$$0 \equiv \sum_i a_{iN} \alpha^{iN} {p'}^{\textcircled{$\top$} N} \quad (\mathrm{mod.}\ {\mathcal{B}'}^{N-1}).$$

Using Corollary 3.3, one obtains $a_{iN} = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, \dim\ S_N$, $x \in J' \cap \mathcal{B}'^{N-1} = \{0\}$, contradiction. We get $J' = \{0\}$, $J = j$. $\square$.

End of proof of Theorem 3.1 . We use the above facts. $\square$.

Corollary 3.6 *Let* $L_N = \{\alpha^{in} p^{\textcircled{\tiny T} n} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim\ S_n,\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$. *Then* L_∞ *is a basis of* $\mathcal{B}$, L_N *is a basis of* $\mathcal{B}^N = \mathcal{A} \cdot \operatorname{span}\{p_{i_1} \ldots p_{i_n} : i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathcal{I},\ n = 0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ *(we treat* $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}^N$ *as left modules). In particular,*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}^N = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \dim\ S_n. \tag{3.44}$$

Remark 3.7 *Assume that the condition c. doesn't hold. Then we introduce* $\eta_i \in \mathcal{A}'$ *as before, so (2.3) holds. But on the right hand side of (2.5) (for* $a = v_{AC}$, $b = w_{BD}$, $v, w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$*) we must add* $L^{vw}_{mAB,CD}$ *where* $L^{vw} \in \mathrm{Mor}(v \textcircled{\tiny T} w, \Lambda \textcircled{\tiny T} v \textcircled{\tiny T} w)$ *and we don't have (2.6), (2.18). Nevertheless, (1.8) is valid. Therefore we get admissible* η_i *in the following way. Let matrix elements of nontrivial irreducible representations* $\{w^m\}_{m \in M}$ *generate* $\mathcal{A}$ *as algebra with* I*. We put* $\eta_i(I) = 0$*, assume some values of* $\eta_i(w^m_{AB})$ *and using (2.3) compute* $\phi_i(w^m_{AB})$*. Then we have the condition that* ρ *of (1.8) preserves all the relations among* w^m_{AB}*. We choose* $\eta_i(\Lambda_{js})$ *so that (2.11) is satisfied (*η_i *are in general not determined uniquely by* ϕ_i*). We also have an additional condition that (2.19) holds for* b *being matrix elements of* $\{w^m\}_{m \in M}$ *(due to Remark 2.6 it implies (2.19) for all* $b \in \mathcal{A}$ *and using it we get* $A_{\alpha r} = 0$ *and bimodule condition for* j_2 *in Theorem 2.3). Proposition 3.14 holds provided* $Mor(1, \Lambda) = \{0\}$, $Mor(\Lambda, \Lambda \textcircled{\tiny T} \Lambda) = \{0\}$ *(otherwise we replace* $Mor(\mathcal{P} \textcircled{\tiny T} \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P} \textcircled{\tiny T} \mathcal{P})$ *by* V_0 *in both places where* V_0 *is some linear subspace of* $Mor(\mathcal{P} \textcircled{\tiny T} \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P} \textcircled{\tiny T} \mathcal{P})$*). Moreover, in Proposition 3.14.2.c there is* $B[Z \otimes \mathbf{1} + (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes Z)]m$ *on the right hand side of (3.59) and also one more condition (3.61). We don't get Proposition 4.5.2, Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.9. In Proposition 4.5.3, Proposition 5.5, Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 we assume that* Λ *is a nontrivial representation. With such corrections, the results of Sections 2–5 are still valid.*

Corollary 3.8*a) $\mathcal{B}$ is the universal unital algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and p_i $(i \in \mathcal{I})$ with the relations $I_{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathcal{A}}$,*

$$p_s a = (a * f_{st}) p_t + a * \eta_s - \Lambda_{st}(\eta_t * a), \qquad a \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{3.45}$$

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij}(p_i p_j - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js}) p_s + T_{ij} - \Lambda_{im}\Lambda_{jn}T_{mn}) = 0. \tag{3.46}$$

b) $\mathcal{B}$ is the universal unital algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ with the relations $I_{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathcal{A}}$,

$$(\mathcal{P} \textcircled{\scriptsize T} w) N_w = N_w (w \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \mathcal{P}), \quad w \in \text{Rep } H, \tag{3.47}$$

$$R_P(\mathcal{P} \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \mathcal{P}) = (\mathcal{P} \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \mathcal{P}) R_P, \tag{3.48}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is given by the condition 3.,

$$R_P = \begin{pmatrix} R & Z & -R \cdot Z & (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})T \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N_w = \begin{pmatrix} G_w & H_w \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_w \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.49}$$

$(G_w)_{iC,Dj} = f_{ij}(w_{CD})$, $(H_w)_{iC,D} = \eta_i(w_{CD})$, $R = G_\Lambda$, $Z = H_\Lambda$.

Remark 3.9 *For $\eta = 0$, $T = 0$ (that choice always satisfies the conditions (2.14), (3.1), (3.2)) we get $p_s \Lambda_{ij} = R_{si,mt}\Lambda_{mj} p_t$, $R_{kl,ij} p_i p_j = p_k p_l$ (cf [13], [8]).*

Remark 3.10 *In (3.45) it suffices to take a being generators of $\mathcal{A}$ (as algebra with unity), in (3.47) it suffices to take $\{w^m\}_{m \in M} \subset \text{Rep } H$ such that matrix elements of w^m generate $\mathcal{A}$.*

Remark 3.11 *Replacing T by $T' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - R)T$, we don't change (3.46). One has $RT' = -T'$. So in the following we can (and will) assume*

$$RT = -T. \tag{3.50}$$

Proof. a) follows from Theorem 3.1. Due to (2.3) and (1.7) it suffices to take a as generators.

ad b) Let $a = w_{mn}$, $m, n = 1, \ldots, \dim w$. Then (1.5) implies

$$(\Lambda \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} w) G_w = G_w (w \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} \Lambda),$$

(3.45) is equivalent to

$$p \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} w = G_w (w \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} p) + H_w w - (\Lambda \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} w) H_w. \tag{3.51}$$

We can rewrite these two equations as (3.47). One can replace (3.46) by

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(p \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} p - Z \cdot p + T - (\Lambda \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} \Lambda) T) = 0. \tag{3.52}$$

Using (3.51) for $w = \Lambda$, this is equivalent to (3.48). $\square$.

Proposition 3.12 *$\mathcal{B}$ is a Hopf algebra (with invertible coinverse).*

Proof. Let $w, w' \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$. Then

$$w \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} w' \simeq \oplus_{w'' \in \mathrm{Irr} H}\, c^{w''}_{ww'} w''$$

for some $c^{w''}_{ww'} \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus there exist linearly independent $S^{\alpha}_{ww'w''} \in \mathrm{Mor}(w'', w \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} w')$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, c^{w''}_{ww'}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra generated by matrix elements of unequivalent irreducible representations of H satisfying

$$(w \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} w') S^{\alpha}_{ww'w''} = S^{\alpha}_{ww'w''} w'', \quad w, w', w'' \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, c^{w''}_{ww'}. \tag{3.53}$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{B}$ is the universal algebra generated by (matrix elements of) a set of representations of G ($\mathcal{P}$ and $w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$) satisfying (3.47), (3.48), (3.53),

$$\mathcal{P} = I \mathbin{\bigcirc\hspace{-0.7em}\top} \mathcal{P}, \quad \mathcal{P} i = i \Lambda \text{ and } s \mathcal{P} = I s$$

where $i : \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \oplus \mathbf{C}$, $\quad s : \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \oplus \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are the canonical mappings, I is the trivial representation of H. Thus the relations are given by morphisms. Moreover, these representations are invertible:

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{-1} & -\Lambda^{-1} p \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

$w^{-1} = S(w)$ for $w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$. Using the arguments of [10] or [16], we get that $\mathcal{B}$ has a coinverse S. Similarly, $\mathcal{P}^T$ and w^T, $w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$, are invertible representations of G^{opp}, where Poly $(G^{\mathrm{opp}}) = (\mathcal{B}, \tau\Delta)$ (coinverse of $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible). Hence $(\mathcal{B}, \tau\Delta)$ has a coinverse S', by the general theory $S' = S^{-1}$. □.

Let

$$\tau^{kl} = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij}\tau_{ij}, \tag{3.54}$$

where τ_{ij} are defined in Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 3.13

1) (2.14) is equivalent to

$$(\Lambda \textcircled{T} \Lambda)_{kl,ij}(\tau^{ij} * b) = b * \tau^{kl}, \quad b \in S, \tag{3.55}$$

where S is a set generating $\mathcal{A}$ as an algebra with unity
2)

$$\tau^{ij}(ab) = (f_{js} * f_{im})(a)\tau^{ms}(b) + \tau^{ij}(a)\epsilon(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}), \quad \tau^{ij}(I) = 0.$$

Proof. 1) follows from Remark 2.6, (1.15), (3.5) and (1.12). 2) follows from Proposition 2.4, (1.16), (3.5) and

$$R_{kl,ij}(f_{js} * f_{im}) = (f_{lj} * f_{ki})R_{ij,ms}$$

(we get it acting f_{ij} on (1.5)). □.

Proposition 3.14 *Let $R \notin \mathbf{C1}^{\otimes 2}$. One has*

1) $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{P}\textcircled{T}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}\textcircled{T}\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathrm{id} \oplus \mathbf{C}R_P \oplus \{m_P : (\Lambda\textcircled{T}\Lambda)m = m\}$ *where R_P is given by (3.49) and*

$$m_P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.56}$$

2) $W \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{P}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\mathcal{P})$ *satisfies*

$$(W \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes W)(W \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{1} \otimes W)(W \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes W) \tag{3.57}$$

if and only if

a) $W = x \cdot \mathrm{id}$ *(*$x \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$*) or*

b) $W \in \{m_P : \ (\Lambda\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\Lambda)m = m\}$ *or*

c) $W = y \cdot (R_P + m_P)$ *for* $y \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ *and* m *such that* $(\Lambda\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\Lambda)m = m$ *provided that*

$$[(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) \otimes \mathbf{1}]F = 0, \tag{3.58}$$

$$A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)T = 0. \tag{3.59}$$

Those W *are invertible if and only if we have the case a) or c).*

Remark 3.15 *Examples of R-matrices for inhomogeneous quantum groups were given e.g. in [2], [13], [3], [7].*

Proof. ad 1) One has

$$\mathcal{P}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\Lambda & \Lambda\textcircled{\scriptsize T}p & p\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\Lambda & p\textcircled{\scriptsize T}p \\ 0 & \Lambda & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda & p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & E & F \\ C & D & G & H \\ J & K & N & P \\ L & M & Q & U \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{P}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\mathcal{P}).$$

It gives a set of linear relations on matrices $A, B, \ldots, U$. Using (3.52) and

$$p\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\Lambda = R(\Lambda\textcircled{\scriptsize T}p) + Z\Lambda - (\Lambda\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\Lambda)Z \tag{3.60}$$

(it is (3.51) for $w = \Lambda$) one can solve them and get $A = b + aR$, $B = aZ$, $E = -aRZ$, $F = a(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})T + m$, $D = N = b\mathbf{1}$, $G = K = a\mathbf{1}$, $U = a + b$,

$C = H = J = P = L = M = Q = 0$, where $a, b, k \in \mathbf{C}$, $(\Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda)m = m$. It means $W = b \cdot \mathrm{id} + a \cdot R_P + m_P$ and 1) follows.

ad 2) We set $l = Z \otimes \mathbf{1} + (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes Z)$. Using (2.5), we get $l_{ijk,rs} = \eta_i((\Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda)_{jk,rs})$ and (see (1.12))

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)l = lR. \tag{3.61}$$

Moreover, $(\Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda)m = m$ gives

$$lm = 0 \tag{3.62}$$

and (acting by f_{ij})

$$(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(m \otimes \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes m, \tag{3.63}$$

hence (using $R^2 = \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}$)

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes m) = m \otimes \mathbf{1}. \tag{3.64}$$

We shall check for which m and $x, y \in \mathbf{C}$, $W = x \cdot \mathrm{id} + y \cdot R_P + m_P$ satisfies (3.57). Since $\mathcal{P}$ acts in $\mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \oplus \mathbf{C}$, W acts on

$$\mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \otimes \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \oplus \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{C}.$$

Denoting the standard basis elements in $\mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ by e_i $(i \in \mathcal{I})$ and f, one gets

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl}
R_P(e_i \otimes e_j) & = & R_{kl,ij} e_k \otimes e_l, \\[2ex]
R_P(e_i \otimes f) & = & Z_{kl,i} e_k \otimes e_l + f \otimes e_i, \\[2ex]
R_P(f \otimes e_i) & = & -(RZ)_{kl,i} e_k \otimes e_l + e_i \otimes f, \\[2ex]
R_P(f \otimes f) & = & ((R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})T)_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j + f \otimes f, \\[2ex]
m_P(e_i \otimes e_j) & = & 0, \\[2ex]
m_P(e_i \otimes f) & = & 0, \\[2ex]
m_P(f \otimes e_i) & = & 0, \\[2ex]
m_P(f \otimes f) & = & m_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j.
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.65}$$

Let us restrict ourselves to $\mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|}$. Then (3.57) gives an analogous formula for $x \cdot \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} + y \cdot R$. Using (3.11) and $R^2 = \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}$, it means $x^2 y(R \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes R) = 0$, $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ ($R \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \otimes R$ would mean $V \otimes \mathbf{C}^4 = \mathbf{C}^4 \otimes V$ where $V = \ker(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})$, $R \in \mathbf{C}\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}$, contradiction).

Setting $x \neq 0$, $y = 0$ and applying both sides of (3.57) to $f \otimes f \otimes e_k$, one obtains $m_{ij}(e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k) = 0$, $m = 0$. Clearly $x \neq 0$, $y = 0$, $m = 0$ gives a solution of (3.57). The same holds for $x = y = 0$ (both sides of (3.57) equal 0). It remains to consider $W = y(R_P + m_P)$ for $y \neq 0$. In order to check (3.57) we may assume $y = 1$. Using (3.65), we find that (3.57) on $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k$ follows from (3.11), on $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes f$, $e_i \otimes f \otimes e_j$, $f \otimes e_i \otimes e_j$ is equivalent to (3.61), on $e_i \otimes f \otimes f$, $f \otimes e_i \otimes f$, $f \otimes f \otimes e_i$ is equivalent to (3.58) (we use (3.30), (3.61) and (3.64)), on $f \otimes f \otimes f$ is equivalent to $Bls = 0$ where B is given by (3.14), $s = s_0 + m$, $s_0 = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})T = -2T$ (see (3.50)). Using (3.62) and $[\mathbf{1} \otimes (\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} + R)]ls_0 = 0$ (which follows from (3.61)), we get

$$Bls = Bls_0 = \frac{1}{2} A_3 l s_0 = -A_3(Z \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes Z)T$$

and $Bls = 0$ is equivalent to (3.59).

Invertibility condition is obvious (in the case c) we use the existence of $R_P^{-1} = R_P$). □.

Remark 3.16 *One can also consider the case when* $(R+\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(R-Q\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = 0$ *where* $Q \neq 0, \pm 1$ *is not a root of unity. Then* $(\rho + \mathrm{id})(\rho - Q\mathrm{id}) = 0$ *and we should replace everywhere* $R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}$ *by* $R - Q\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}$*,* $R_{kl,ij} p_i p_j = p_k p_l$ *by* $R_{kl,ij} p_i p_j = Q p_k p_l$*,*

$$A_3 = Q^3 \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} - Q^2 R \otimes \mathbf{1} - Q^2 \mathbf{1} \otimes R + Q(R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R) +$$

$$Q(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1}) - (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1}),$$

$$A = (R \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R) - Q(\mathbf{1} \otimes R) + Q^2 \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1},$$

$$B = (\mathbf{1} \otimes R)(R \otimes \mathbf{1}) - QR \otimes \mathbf{1} + Q^2 \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1},$$

$$R_P = \begin{pmatrix} R & Z & (Q-1-R) \cdot Z & (R - Q\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})T \\ 0 & 0 & Q\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & (Q-1)\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Q \end{pmatrix},$$

$n!$ *is replaced by*

$$(n)_Q! = \sum_{\pi\in\Pi_n} Q^{s(\pi)} = (1)_Q(2)_Q\cdot\ldots\cdot(n)_Q$$

where $s(\pi)$ *is the number of transpositions in the minimal decomposition of* π *and* $(k)_Q = 1+Q+\ldots+Q^{k-1}$ *(what concerns* S_n *and* A_3 *see [5]),*

$$r_{n\pi} = Q^{s-1}r_{ni_1} + Q^{s-2}R_{i_1}r_{ni_2} + \ldots + R_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot R_{i_{s-1}}r_{ni_s}$$

where $s = s(\pi)$, *in Remark 3.11* $T' = \frac{1}{1+Q}(Q\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - R)T$. *In Proposition 3.14.2.c one has* $W = y(R_P + m_P)^{\pm 1}$, $(\mathbf{1}\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}\otimes m) - m\otimes\mathbf{1}$ *on the right hand side of (3.58) and additional condition* $Rm = -m$. *In (4.4) one has* $\frac{1}{(1+Q)c^2}(Q\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - \hat{R})$ *instead of* $\frac{1}{2c^2}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - \hat{R})$. *In (4.14) one obtains* $R^{-1}_{ab,jl}$ *on the left hand side. In Proposition 4.5.2, Proposition 5.5, Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 we assume* $|Q| = 1$ *(otherwise existence of the considered* $*$*-structure in* $\mathcal{B}$ *would imply* $R = -\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}\cdot\mathrm{span}\{I, p_i\}$*). With these corrections, all the results (in particular Remark 3.7) remain true but we do not get Proposition 4.8, Corollary 4.9 and there are small modifications in the proofs.*

4 Isomorphisms and $*$ structure

In this Section we consider isomorphisms among inhomogeneous quantum groups as well as $*$-structures on them. Throughout the Section we assume that $\mathrm{Poly}(H) = (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra satisfying the conditions a.–c. and $\mathrm{Poly}(G) = (\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ is the corresponding Hopf algebra as in Theorems 2.1 and 3.1. Then G is called an inhomogeneous quantum group.

Proposition 4.1 *Let* $w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ G$. *Then* $w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$.

Proof. Let $W = \mathrm{span}\{w_{mn} : m, n = 1, \ldots, \dim w\}$ and s be the smallest natural number such that $W \subset \mathcal{B}^s$ ($\mathcal{B} = \cup_s \mathcal{B}^s$). Assume that $s > 0$. Then $\Delta\mathcal{B}^s \subset \mathcal{B}^{s-1}\otimes\mathcal{B}^s + \mathcal{B}^s\otimes\mathcal{B}^{s-1}$. There exists $\phi \in (\mathcal{B}^s)'$ such that $\phi_{|_{\mathcal{B}^{s-1}}} = 0$ and $\phi_{|W} \neq 0$. Therefore $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\phi)\Delta W \subset \mathcal{B}^{s-1}$, $w\phi(w) \in M_{\dim\ w}(\mathcal{B}^{s-1})$. Moreover, one can choose $x \in \mathbf{C}^{\dim\ w}$ such that $\phi(w)x \neq 0$. We take $\phi(w)x$ as the first vector of a basis in the carrier vector space of w. Thus $w_{k1} \in \mathcal{B}^{s-1}$,

$k = 1, \ldots, \dim\ w$, $w_{kl} \otimes w_{l1} = \Delta w_{k1} \in \mathcal{B}^{s-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{s-1}$. Using linear independence of w_{l1} ($w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ G$), we get $w_{kl} \in \mathcal{B}^{s-1}$, $W \subset \mathcal{B}^{s-1}$, contradiction. Thus $s = 0$, $W \subset \mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{A}$. □.

Corollary 4.2

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{span}\{w_{kl} :\ k, l = 1, \ldots, \dim\ w,\ w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ G\}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{B}$ determines $\mathcal{A}$ uniquely.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $x \in \mathcal{B}$, $\Delta x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Then $x \in \mathcal{B}^1$.*

Proof. Let N be the minimal number such that $x \in \mathcal{B}^N$. Assume $N \geq 2$. Then

$$x = \sum_{\substack{i = 1, \ldots, \dim\ S_n \\ n \leq N}} a_{in} \alpha^{in} p^{\bigoplus n}.$$

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, one gets $a_{iN} = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, \dim\ S_N$, $x \in \mathcal{B}^{N-1}$, contradiction. Thus $x \in \mathcal{B}^1$. □.

Proposition 4.4 *One has*

1) *Suppose that $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \Delta, \Lambda, p, f, \eta, T$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{p}, \hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$ describe two inhomogeneous quantum groups $G, \hat{G}$ and $\phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ be an isomorphism of bialgebras. Then $\phi(\mathcal{A}) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $\phi(\mathcal{B}^1) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^1$. We denote $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} = \phi_{|\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.*
2) *Moreover, let $\phi(\Lambda) = M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}$ for an invertible matrix M. Then*

$$\phi(p) = M(c\hat{p} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h), \tag{4.1}$$

for some $c \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $h_s \in \mathbf{C}$ ($s \in \mathcal{I}$) and we can choose

$$\hat{f} = (M^{-1} f M) \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{c} M^{-1} (\eta + fMh - \epsilon Mh) \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\hat{T} = \frac{1}{c^2}(M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1})T + \frac{1}{2c^2}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - \hat{R})[-(M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1})ZMh + h \otimes h] \tag{4.4}$$

where $Z = \eta(\Lambda)$,

$$\hat{R} = (M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1}) R (M \otimes M). \tag{4.5}$$

3) Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \Delta, \Lambda, p, f, \eta, T$ *describe an inhomogeneous quantum group,* $\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Lambda}$ *satisfy the conditions a.–c.,* $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ *be an isomorphism of bialgebras such that* $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda) = M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}$ *for an invertible matrix* M *and* $c \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$*,* $h_s \in \mathbf{C}$ *(*$s \in \mathcal{I}$*). Then there exists an inhomogeneous quantum group described by* $\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{p}, \hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$ *and isomorphism of bialgebras* $\phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ *such that* $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} = \phi_{|\mathcal{A}}$ *and (4.1)–(4.4) hold.*

Proof. ad 1) According to Corollary 4.2,

$$\phi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{span}\{\phi(w_{kl}) : \ k, l = 1, \ldots, \dim w, \ w \in \mathrm{Irr}\ G\} =$$

$$\mathrm{span}\{v_{kl} : \ k, l = 1, \ldots, \dim v, \ v \in \mathrm{Irr}\ \hat{G}\} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{B}^1$. Then $\Delta x \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, $\Delta\phi(x) = (\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta x \in \hat{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{B}}$. Using Proposition 4.3, we get $\phi(x) \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}^1$. Thus $\phi(\mathcal{B}^1) \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}^1$. Interchanging $\mathcal{B}$ with $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$, one gets $\phi(\mathcal{B}^1) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}^1$.
ad 2) $\phi(p) = k \cdot \hat{p} + l$ for some $k_{ij}, l_i \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore

$$(k\hat{p} + l) \operp I + M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1} \operp (k\hat{p} + l) = (\phi \otimes \phi)(p \operp I + \Lambda \operp p) =$$

$$(\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta p = \hat{\Delta}\phi(p) = \hat{\Delta}(k)(\hat{p} \operp I + \hat{\Lambda} \operp \hat{p}) + \hat{\Delta}(l).$$

We get $\hat{\Delta}(k) = k \operp I$, $k_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$, $M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}k = k\hat{\Lambda}$, $l \operp I + M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1} \operp l = \hat{\Delta}(l)$. Thus $k = c \cdot M$ and (cf (2.10) and later formulae) $l = \hat{h} - M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}\hat{h}$ for some $c \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\hat{h}_s \in \mathbf{C}$ ($s \in \mathcal{I}$). Setting $h = M^{-1}\hat{h}$ one obtains (4.1).

Acting ϕ on the relation $pa = (a * f)p + a * \eta - \Lambda(\eta * a)$, we get

$$\left.\begin{aligned} & M(c\hat{p} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h)b = \\ & (b * f \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1})M(c\hat{p} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h) + \\ & b * \eta \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} - M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}(\eta \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} * b), \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4.6}$$

where $b = \phi(a) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. But (acting ϕ on (1.5))

$$(b * f \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1})M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1} = M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}(f \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} * b).$$

Thus (4.6) is equivalent to

$$\hat{p}b = \{b * [(M^{-1}fM) \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]\}\hat{p} +$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\{b*[M^{-1}(\eta+fMh-\epsilon Mh)\circ\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]\}-$$
$$\frac{1}{c}\hat{\Lambda}\{[M^{-1}(\eta+fMh-\epsilon Mh)\circ\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}]*b\}.$$

It proves (4.2) and (4.3). Applying (4.2) and (4.3) to $\hat{\Lambda}$ one obtains (4.5) and

$$\hat{Z}=\frac{1}{c}[(M^{-1}\otimes M^{-1})ZM+\hat{R}(\mathbf{1}\otimes h)-h\otimes\mathbf{1}]. \tag{4.7}$$

Acting ϕ on the relation (3.52) and using (4.5), we get

$$\left.\begin{array}{c}(\hat{R}-\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})[\hat{p}\textcircled{T}\hat{p}+\hat{p}\textcircled{T}h'-\hat{p}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'+\\ h'\textcircled{T}\hat{p}-\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}\hat{p}+\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'-\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}h'-h'\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'+h'\textcircled{T}h'-\\ Z'\hat{p}-Z'h'+Z'\hat{\Lambda}h'+T'-(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})T']=0,\end{array}\right\} \tag{4.8}$$

where $Z'=\frac{1}{c}(M^{-1}\otimes M^{-1})ZM$, $T'=\frac{1}{c^2}(M^{-1}\otimes M^{-1})T$, $h'=\frac{h}{c}$. But

$$\hat{p}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}=\hat{R}(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{p})+\hat{Z}\hat{\Lambda}-(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})\hat{Z},$$

so (4.8) is equivalent to

$$(\hat{R}-\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})[\hat{p}\textcircled{T}\hat{p}-G\hat{p}+U]=0,$$

where

$$G=-\mathbf{1}\otimes h'+\hat{R}(\hat{\Lambda}h'\otimes\mathbf{1})-h'\otimes\mathbf{1}+\hat{\Lambda}h'\otimes\mathbf{1}+Z'=$$
$$\hat{Z}+(\hat{R}+\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(\hat{\Lambda}h'\otimes\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}\otimes h'),$$
$$U=-\hat{Z}\hat{\Lambda}h'+(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})\hat{Z}h'+\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'-\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}h'-h'\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'+$$
$$h'\textcircled{T}h'-Z'h'+Z'\hat{\Lambda}h'+T'-(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})T'.$$

But

$$Z'\hat{\Lambda}h'-\hat{Z}\hat{\Lambda}h'=h'\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'-\hat{R}(\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}h'),$$
$$(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})\hat{Z}h'=(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})Z'h'+\hat{R}(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})(h'\textcircled{T}h')-(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})h'\textcircled{T}h',$$

hence

$$U=\tilde{T}-(\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda})\tilde{T}+(\hat{R}+\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}\hat{\Lambda}h'-\hat{\Lambda}h'\textcircled{T}h'),$$

where $\tilde{T} = T' - Z'h' + h'\textcircled{\scriptsize T}h'$. Therefore (4.8) is equivalent to

$$(\hat{R} - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(\hat{p}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\hat{p} - \hat{Z}\hat{p} + \tilde{T} - (\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\hat{\Lambda})\tilde{T}) = 0.$$

Thus we can choose $\hat{T}$ as $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2} - \hat{R})\tilde{T}$ (cf Remark 3.11) and (4.4) follows.

ad 3) We define $\hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$ by (4.2)–(4.4) and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ as the universal algebra generated by $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{p}_i$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$, satisfying $I_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}} = I_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$,

$$\hat{p}b = (b * \hat{f})\hat{p} + (b * \hat{\eta}) - \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\eta} * b), \quad b \in \hat{\mathcal{A}},$$

$$(\hat{R} - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})[\hat{p}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\hat{p} - \hat{Z}\hat{p} + \hat{T} - (\hat{\Lambda}\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\hat{\Lambda})\hat{T}] = 0,$$

where $\hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$ are given by (4.2)–(4.4), $\hat{R}_{ij,kl} = \hat{f}_{il}(\hat{\Lambda}_{jk})$, $\hat{Z} = \hat{\eta}(\hat{\Lambda})$. The computations in 2) show that there exists a unital homomorphism of algebras $\phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\phi_{|\mathcal{A}} = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and (4.1) holds (ϕ transforms the relations in $\mathcal{B}$ into the relations in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$). The same computations show that there exists a unital homomorphism of algebras $\phi' : \hat{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi'_{|\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ and $\phi'[M(c\hat{p} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h)] = p$, i.e. $\phi'(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{c}M^{-1}[p - Mh + \Lambda Mh]$. Thus $\phi\phi' = \phi'\phi = \mathrm{id}$ and ϕ is an isomorphism. We set $\hat{\Delta} = (\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta\phi^{-1}$. Hence $(\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\Delta})$ is a bialgebra with the proper bialgebra structure on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and ϕ is an isomorphism of bialgebras. Computations in 2) show $\hat{\Delta}\hat{p} = \hat{p}\oplus I + \hat{\Lambda}\oplus\hat{p}$ and the properties of $(\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ imply that $(\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\Delta})$ corresponds to an inhomogeneous quantum group described by $\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{p}, \hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$. $\square$.

Proposition 4.5 *Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \Delta, \Lambda, p, f, \eta, T$ correspond to an inhomogeneous quantum group where $(\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ is a Hopf *-algebra such that $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda$.*

1) Let $(\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ be a Hopf *-algebra such that $*_{|\mathcal{A}} = *_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then there exist $m \neq 0, n_s \in \mathbf{C}$ $(s \in \mathcal{I})$ such that

$$p'_i = mp_i + n_i - \Lambda_{ij}n_j \tag{4.9}$$

satisfy $p'^*_i = p'_i$. In particular, there exist $\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{p}, \hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$ corresponding to an inhomogeneous quantum group and Hopf *-algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $\phi_{|\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{id}$, $\phi(p'_i) = \hat{p}_i$, $\hat{p}^*_i = \hat{p}_i$.

2) There exists Hopf *-algebra structure in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $*_{|\mathcal{A}} = *_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $p_i^* = p_i$ $(i \in \mathcal{I})$ iff

$$f_{ij}(S(a^*)) = \overline{f_{ij}(a)}, \qquad a \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\eta_i(S(a^*)) = \overline{\eta_i(a)}, \qquad a \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\tilde{T} - T \in \mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{$\top$} \Lambda), \tag{4.12}$$

where $\tilde{T}_{ij} = \overline{T_{ji}}$, $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$. Moreover, such $*$ is unique.

3) Proposition 4.4 remains valid if we consider $(\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$, $(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta})$, $(\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\Delta})$ as Hopf *-algebras, $\phi, \phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ as Hopf *-algebra isomorphisms, $p_i, \hat{p}_i$ as selfadjoints, $M = \bar{M}$ and $c \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $h_i \in \mathbf{R}$ $(i \in \mathcal{I})$. Moreover, one has $\overline{\hat{\Lambda}} = \hat{\Lambda}$.

Remark 4.6 *Statements 1) and 3) remain valid if we replace everywhere Hopf *-algebras by *-bialgebras.*

Remark 4.7 *If $\Lambda = \bar{\Lambda}$, $\hat{\Lambda} = \overline{\hat{\Lambda}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *-isomorphism such that $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda) = M \hat{\Lambda} M^{-1}$ then we can assume $M = \bar{M}$ (Conjugating one has $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda) = \bar{M} \hat{\Lambda} \bar{M}^{-1}$. Using the condition b., $\bar{M} = \alpha \cdot M$ for some $\alpha = e^{i\phi}$, $\phi \in \mathbf{R}$. Replacing M by $M' = e^{i\phi/2} M$, one gets $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda) = M' \hat{\Lambda} M'^{-1}$ and $M' = \overline{M'}$).*

Proof. ad 1) Acting by $*$ on (1.1), we get

$$\Delta p_i^* = \Lambda_{ij} \otimes p_j^* + p_i^* \otimes I \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}.$$

Using Proposition 4.3, $p_i^* = k_{ij} p_j + l_i$ for some $k_{ij}, l_i \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore

$$(kp + l) \textcircled{$\perp$} I + \Lambda \textcircled{$\perp$} (kp + l) = \Delta(k)(p \textcircled{$\perp$} I + \Lambda \textcircled{$\perp$} p) + \Delta(l).$$

We get (cf the proof of Proposition 4.4) $k = cI$, $l = g - \Lambda g$ for some $c, g_s \in \mathbf{C}$ $(s \in \mathcal{I})$. Thus $p_j^* = dp_j + g_j - \Lambda_{jk} g_k$. Using $p_j^{**} = p_j$, we may put $d = e^{i\phi}$, $g_j = i e^{i\phi/2} c_j$, $\phi, c_j \in \mathbf{R}$ $(j \in \mathcal{I})$. Setting $m = e^{i\phi/2}$, $n_j = \frac{1}{2} i c_j$, one gets that ${p'}_i$ given by (4.9) satisfy ${p'}_i^* = {p'}_i$.

We put $c = \frac{1}{m}$, $h_j = -\frac{1}{m}n_j$, $M = \mathbf{1}$, $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$, $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{p}, \hat{f}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{T}$ and $\phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ given by Proposition 4.4.3 satisfy all the conditions which don't involve $*$. In particular, $\Lambda = \hat{\Lambda}$,

$$\phi(p') = \phi(mp + n - \Lambda n) = m(c\hat{p} + h - \Lambda h) + n - \Lambda n = \hat{p}.$$

We define $*$ in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ as $\phi \circ *_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \phi^{-1}$. Then ϕ is a Hopf *-algebra isomorphism and $\hat{p}_i^* = \phi({p'}_i^*) = \phi({p'}_i) = \hat{p}_i$.

ad 2) The existence of such structure is equivalent to the fact that the ideal $\mathcal{G}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (with $*$ given by $\tilde{p}_i^* = \tilde{p}_i$, $*_{|\mathcal{A}} = *_{\mathcal{A}}$) generated by (1.6) and (3.46) is selfadjoint (Hopf algebra structure exists due to Proposition 3.12, while $\Delta * = (* \otimes *)\Delta$ and $*^2 = \mathrm{id}$ can be checked on generators $a \in \mathcal{A}$, p_i $(i \in \mathcal{I})$). In other words, conjugating (1.6) and (3.46) we should get relations, which follow from (1.6) and (3.46) as relations in algebra without $*$. We use the notation $\bar{f}(x) = \overline{f(x^*)}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}'$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$. For (1.6) one gets $(b = a^*)$

$$0 = [-p_s a + (a * f_{st})p_t + \phi_s(a)]^* = -bp_s + p_t(b * \overline{f_{st}}) + \phi_s(b^*)^* =$$

$$-bp_s + (b * \overline{f_{st}} * f_{tr})p_r + \phi_t(b * \overline{f_{st}}) + \phi_s(b^*)^*.$$

Therefore (see (1.4)) $\overline{f_{st}} * f_{tr} = \delta_{sr}\epsilon$, $\overline{f_{sl}} = \overline{f_{st}} * f_{tr} * f_{rl} \circ S = f_{sl} \circ S$, $f_{sl} = \overline{f_{sl} \circ S}$, we get (4.10). Moreover,

$$\phi_s(b^*) = -\phi_t(b * \overline{f_{st}})^*. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus

$$b^* * \eta_s - \Lambda_{st}(\eta_t * b^*) = -b^* * f_{st} * \overline{\eta_t} + (\overline{\eta_m} * b^* * f_{st})\Lambda_{tm} =$$

$$-b^* * f_{st} * \overline{\eta_t} + \Lambda_{st}(f_{tm} * \overline{\eta_m} * b^*)$$

(we used (1.5)). Thus $(b^* = a)$ $a * \mu_s = \Lambda_{st}(\mu_t * a)$, where $\mu_s = \eta_s + f_{st} * \overline{\eta_t}$. Inserting $a = v_{kl}$, $v \in \mathrm{Irr}\ H$, and denoting $\mu_s(v_{km}) = F_{sk,m}$, one has $F \in \mathrm{Mor}(v, \Lambda ⓣ v) = \{0\}$ (condition c.), $\mu_s = 0$. Therefore (see (1.4)),

$$-f_{ms} \circ S * \eta_s = f_{ms} \circ S * f_{st} * \overline{\eta_t} = \overline{\eta_m}.$$

Acting on v_{kl} and using (2.5), we obtain

$$\overline{\eta_m}(v_{kl}) = -f_{ms}(v_{kr}^{-1})\eta_s(v_{rl}) = -\eta_m(\delta_{kl}I) + \eta_m(v_{kr}^{-1})\epsilon(v_{rl}) = \eta_m(v_{kl}^{-1}),$$

$\overline{\eta_m} = \eta_m \circ S$, one gets (4.11).

In virtue of (4.10), (1.4) and $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda$

$$\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} = \delta_{ij}\epsilon(\Lambda_{kl}) = f_{im} * \overline{f_{mj}}(\Lambda_{kl}) =$$
$$f_{im}(\Lambda_{kr})\overline{f_{mj}}(\Lambda_{rl}) = R_{ik,rm}\overline{R_{mr,lj}}.$$

Multiplying by $R_{ab,ik}$, we get

$$R_{ab,jl} = \overline{R_{ba,lj}}. \tag{4.14}$$

Moreover,

$$\eta_s(\Lambda_{jk}) = -(f_{st} * \overline{\eta_t})(\Lambda_{jk}) = -f_{st}(\Lambda_{jm})\overline{\eta_t}(\Lambda_{mk}) = -R_{sj,mt}\overline{\eta_t(\Lambda_{mk})}.$$

Multiplying by $(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{ab,sj}$, one obtains

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{ab,sj}\eta_s(\Lambda_{jk}) = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{ab,mt}\overline{\eta_t(\Lambda_{mk})}. \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore, conjugating (3.46), we get

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{lk,ji}[p_j p_i - \eta_j(\Lambda_{is})p_s + \tilde{T}_{ji} - \Lambda_{jn}\Lambda_{im}\tilde{T}_{nm}] = 0.$$

Comparing with (3.46), one has $(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(\tilde{T} - T) \in \mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda)$. Using (3.50) and (4.14), we get (4.12). Conversely, assuming (4.10)–(4.12) and repeating the above reasonings in an opposite order, one gets that $\mathcal{G}$ is self-adjoint.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ and p_i $(i \in \mathcal{I})$ generate $\mathcal{B}$, uniqueness of $*$ follows.

ad 3) If $\phi \circ * = * \circ \phi$ and $\bar{M} = M$ then (in 2) of Proposition 4.4)

$$M\overline{\hat{\Lambda}}M^{-1} = \overline{\phi(\Lambda)} = \phi(\bar{\Lambda}) = \phi(\Lambda) = M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1},$$

hence $\overline{\hat{\Lambda}} = \hat{\Lambda}$. Moreover,

$$M(\bar{c}\hat{p} + \bar{h} - \hat{\Lambda}\bar{h}) = \overline{\phi(p)} = \phi(\bar{p}) = \phi(p) = M(c\hat{p} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h).$$

Thus $\bar{h} - h \in \mathrm{Mor}(I, \hat{\Lambda}) = \{0\}$ (condition c.), $c, h_i \in \mathbf{R}$ $(i \in \mathcal{I})$. In 3) of Proposition 4.4 we prove $\overline{\hat{\Lambda}} = \hat{\Lambda}$ as above and define Hopf *-algebra structure in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ by $*_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}} = \phi \circ *_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \phi^{-1}$, which gives the proper $*$ in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus ϕ is a Hopf *-algebra isomorphism by construction. Then

$$M(c\overline{\hat{p}} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h) = \overline{\phi(p)} = \phi(\bar{p}) = \phi(p) = M(c\hat{p} + h - \hat{\Lambda}h),$$

$\overline{\hat{p}} = \hat{p}$. $\square$.

Proposition 4.8 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.5.2 with $\tilde{T} = T$. Then (see (3.54)) $\tau^{kl}(S(b^*)) = \overline{\tau^{lk}(b)}$.*

Proof. Using $\Delta * = (* \otimes *)\Delta$, $\Delta S = \tau(S \otimes S)\Delta$, $\epsilon S = \epsilon$, (4.10), (4.11) and $T_{ij} = \overline{T_{ji}}$ one gets

$$\tau_{ij}(S(b^*)) = \overline{\eta_i * \eta_j(b)} - \eta_i(\Lambda_{js})\overline{\eta_s(b)} + \overline{T_{ji}\epsilon(b)} - \overline{(f_{im} * f_{jn})(b)T_{nm}}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{kl,ij}$ and using (4.14), (4.15), one gets our assertion. □.

Corollary 4.9 *With assumptions of Proposition 4.8, if (3.55) holds for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$ then (3.55) holds for $S(b^*)$.*

Proof. Let $c = S(b^*)$. Applying $S \circ *$ to (3.55) and using Proposition 4.8, one obtains $(\Lambda^{-1})_{ki}(\Lambda^{-1})_{lj}(c * \tau^{ji}) = \tau^{lk} * c$, which is equivalent to (3.55) with b replaced by c. □.

5 Quantum homogeneous spaces

In this Section we prove that each inhomogeneous quantum group possesses (under some conditions) exactly one analogue of Minkowski space. Throughout the Section we assume that $\mathrm{Poly}(H) = (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ is a Hopf *-algebra satisfying the conditions a.–c. and $\mathrm{Poly}(G) = (\mathcal{B}, \Delta)$ is the corresponding Hopf *-algebra as in Theorems 2.1, 3.1 with $*$-structure as in Proposition 4.5.2.

Remark 5.1 *Analogues of Minkowski spaces endowed with the action of inhomogeneous quantum group in absence of inhomogeneous terms in the commutation relations were studied e.g. in [13], [8], [3], for the so called soft deformations (a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ and $\eta = 0$) in [17] and for κ-Poincaré group in [7].*

Motivated by [12] we say that $(\mathcal{C}, \Psi)$ describes an analogue of Minkowski space associated with G if one has

1. $\mathcal{C}$ is a unital *-algebra generated by x_i, $i \in \mathcal{I}$, and $\Psi : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is a unital *-homomorphism such that $(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi = \mathrm{id}$, $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Psi)\Psi = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi$, $x_i^* = x_i$ and
$$\Psi x_i = \Lambda_{ij} \otimes x_j + p_i \otimes I. \tag{5.1}$$
2. if $\Psi W \subset \mathcal{A} \otimes W$ for a linear subspace $W \subset \mathcal{C}$ then $W \subset \mathbf{C}I$.
3. if $(\mathcal{C}', \Psi')$ also satisfies 1.–2. for some $x_i{}' \in \mathcal{C}'$ then there exists a unital *-homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ such that $\rho(x_i) = x_i{}'$ and $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho)\Psi = \Psi'\rho$ (universality of $(\mathcal{C}, \Psi)$).

Let us remark that the conditions $(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi = \mathrm{id}$, $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Psi)\Psi = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi$ are superfluous in 1..

Proposition 5.2 *We assume*

$$\mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \cap \ker(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = \{0\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be a unital algebra generated by x_i ($i \in \mathcal{I}$) and $\Psi' : \mathcal{C}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}'$ be a unital homomorphism satisfying (5.1) and the condition 2.. Then

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})_{ij,kl}(x_k x_l - \eta_k(\Lambda_{lm}) x_m + T_{kl}) = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. According to (5.1), $\Psi' x = \Lambda \textcircled{\perp} x + p \textcircled{\perp} I$. Thus

$$\Psi'(x \textcircled{\top} x) = (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \textcircled{\perp} (x \textcircled{\top} x) + (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} p) \textcircled{\perp} x + (p \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \textcircled{\perp} x + (p \textcircled{\top} p) \textcircled{\perp} I.$$

Using (1.12), (3.60) and (3.52),

$$\Psi'((R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(x \textcircled{\top} x)) = (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \textcircled{\perp} (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(x \textcircled{\top} x) +$$

$$(R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(Z\Lambda - (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) Z) \textcircled{\perp} x + (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(Zp - T + (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) T) \textcircled{\perp} I.$$

Setting $w = (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})(x \textcircled{\top} x - Zx + T)$, one obtains $\Psi' w = (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \textcircled{\perp} w$. The condition 2. implies $w_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}I$, $w = (\Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) w$. But $Rw = -w$, hence $w \in \mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \cap \ker(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = \{0\}$ and (5.3) follows. $\Box$.

Proposition 5.3 *Assume that*

$$\mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \Lambda) = \{0\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the universal unital algebra generated by x_i ($i \in \mathcal{I}$) satisfying (5.3). Then there exists a unique unital homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ such that (5.1) holds. Moreover, $\alpha^{in}(x^{\textcircled{\scriptsize T} n})$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim S_n$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, form a basis of

$$\mathcal{C}^N = \mathrm{span}\{x_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{i_n} : \ i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathcal{I}, \ n = 0, 1, \ldots, N\},$$

and the condition 2. holds. In particular,

$$\dim \mathcal{C}^N = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \dim S_n.$$

Proof. Doing the same computations as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we get that the right hand sides of (5.1) satisfy (5.3) in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. Therefore the desired Ψ exists. Uniqueness is trivial. We find the basis of $\mathcal{C}^N$ in a similar way as the basis of the left module $\mathcal{B}'^N$ in Section 3 (Theorem 3.1–Corollary 3.3). The main change is that we now consider the equality $(x \textcircled{\scriptsize T} x) \textcircled{\scriptsize T} x = x \textcircled{\scriptsize T} (x \textcircled{\scriptsize T} x)$, using $x \textcircled{\scriptsize T} x = R(x \textcircled{\scriptsize T} x) + k$, where $k = c \cdot x + (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2})T$. One gets $A(k \textcircled{\scriptsize T} x) = B(x \textcircled{\scriptsize T} k)$. Instead of (3.21)–(3.22) we have

$$l = -\frac{1}{2} H c, \tag{5.5}$$

$$0 = -\frac{1}{2} H (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) T \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$l = A((R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) T \otimes \mathbf{1}) - B(\mathbf{1} \otimes (R - \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) T) = -A_3 (T \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes T) = L.$$

Thus (5.5) is equivalent to (3.21), which is equivalent to (3.1). Moreover, using (3.50), (3.27) and (5.4), one obtains that (5.6) is equivalent to (3.2). Then we find the basis in a similar way as in Section 3.

Now we shall prove the condition 2.. Let $y \in \mathcal{C}$, $\Psi y \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. If $y \notin \mathbf{C} I$ then for some $N > 0$

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \ S_N} c_i \alpha^{iN} x^{\textcircled{\scriptsize T} N} + y',$$

where $y' \in \mathcal{C}^{N-1}$ and not all c_i equal 0. Using (5.1),

$$\Psi y = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim\ S_N} (c_i \alpha^{iN} p^{\textcircled{\top} N}) \otimes I + \omega,$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{B}^{N-1} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and also $\Psi y \in \mathcal{B}^{N-1} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. In virtue of Corollary 3.6 $c_i = 0$. This contradiction shows that $y \in \mathbf{C}I$. $\Box$.

Remark 5.4 *Assume (5.2) and (5.4). Then* $(\mathcal{C}, \Psi)$ *defined in Proposition 5.3 satisfies the conditions 1.–3. without* $*$ *(one can check* $(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi = \mathrm{id}$, $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Psi)\Psi = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi$, $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho)\Psi = \Psi' \rho$ *on generators). The existence of* $*$*-structures in* $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ *is not necessary for Proposition 5.2, Proposition 5.3 and Remark 5.4.*

Proposition 5.5 *Let (5.2) and (5.4) hold and* $(\mathcal{C}, \Psi)$ *be as in Proposition 5.3. Then there exists a unique* **-algebra structure in* $\mathcal{C}$ *such that* Ψ *is a* **-homomorphism. It is determined by* $x_i^* = x_i$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a *-algebra and Ψ is a *-homomorphism. Conjugating (5.1) and comparing with (5.1), one gets $\Psi z_i = \Lambda_{ij} \otimes z_j$ where $z_i = x_i^* - x_i$. Using the condition 2. (see Proposition 5.3), $z_i = k_i I$ with $k_i \in \mathbf{C}$. Thus $k = (k_i)_{i=0}^3 \in \mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda) = \{0\}$, $z_i = 0$, $x_i^* = x_i$. Since we must have $I^* = I$, it determines $*$ in $\mathcal{C}$ uniquely.

Conversely, setting $x_i^* = x_i$ in free unital algebra generated by x_i, we get a *-algebra. In virtue of (4.12), (4.14) and (3.50)

$$\tilde{T} - T \in \mathrm{Mor}(I, \Lambda \textcircled{\top} \Lambda) \cap \ker(R + \mathbf{1}^{\otimes 2}) = \{0\}.$$

Using this, (4.14) and (4.15), one checks that the ideal generated by the left hand sides of (5.3) is selfadjoint. Hence there exists *-algebra structure in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $x_i^* = x_i$. Using (5.1), $\Psi \circ * = * \circ \Psi$ on x_i, hence in whole $\mathcal{C}$, and Ψ is a *-homomorphism. $\Box$.

Theorem 5.6 *Assume (5.2) and (5.4). Then the conditions 1.–3. are satisfied if and only if the pair* $(\mathcal{C}, \Psi)$ *is* $*$*-isomorphic to that defined in Propositions 5.3 and 5.5.*

Proof. According to Propositions 5.3 and 5.5, $(\mathcal{C},\Psi)$ satisfies the conditions 1.–2.. If $(\mathcal{C}',\Psi')$ also satisfies 1.–2., then using Proposition 5.2, (5.3) is satisfied in $\mathcal{C}'$ and there exists a unital homomorphism $\rho:\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}'$ such that $\rho(x_i)={x_i}'$. Using $x_i^*=x_i$, ${x_i}'^*={x_i}'$, one gets that $\rho\circ *=*\circ\rho$. Using (5.1), one gets $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\rho)\Psi=\Psi'\rho$ on x_i and hence in whole $\mathcal{C}$. Thus the condition 3. is satisfied. Uniqueness follows from the universality. $\square$.

Proposition 5.7 *Assume (5.2) and (5.4). Let* $\phi:\mathcal{B}\longrightarrow\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ *be a Hopf* **-algebra isomorphism of quantum inhomogeneous groups,* $p_i^*=p_i$, $\hat{p}_i^*=\hat{p}_i$, $\phi_{|\mathcal{A}}=\phi_{\mathcal{A}}:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ *be a Hopf* **-algebra isomorphism such that* $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda)=M\hat{\Lambda}M^{-1}$, $\phi(p)=M(c\hat{p}+h-\hat{\Lambda}h)$, $\bar{M}=M$, $c,h_s\in\mathbf{R}$ *(*$s\in\mathcal{I}$*). Let* $(\mathcal{C},\Psi)$ *and* $(\hat{\mathcal{C}},\hat{\Psi})$ *be the corresponding objects satisfying 1.–3.. Then there exists a unital* **-isomorphism* $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}:\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ *such that* $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(x)=M(c\hat{x}+h)$ *and* $\phi,\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$ *intertwine* Ψ *with* $\hat{\Psi}$*.*

Proof. We set $\tilde{x}=c^{-1}(M^{-1}x-h)$ and check that $(\mathcal{C},(\phi\otimes\mathrm{id})\Psi)$ satisfies the conditions 1.–3. w.r.t. $\tilde{x}$, $\hat{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{p}$. By virtue of universality, there exists a unital *-isomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}:\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{x})=\hat{x}$, $(\phi\otimes\phi_{\mathcal{C}})\Psi=\hat{\Psi}\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$.$\square$.

Acknowledgements

The first author is grateful to Prof. W. Arveson and other faculty members for their kind hospitality in UC Berkeley. The authors are thankful to Prof. J. Lukierski and Dr S. Zakrzewski for fruitful discussions.

References

[1] Brzeziński, T., Remarks on bicovariant differential calculi and exterior Hopf algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **27** (1993), 287–300.

[2] Celeghini, E., Giachetti, R., Sorace, E. and Tarlini, M., The three–dimensional Euclidean quantum group $E_q(3)$ and its R-matrix, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991), 1159–1165.

[3] Chaichian, M. and Demichev, A.P., Quantum Poincaré group, *Phys. Lett.* **B304** (1993), 220–224. Cf also Schirrmacher, A., "Varieties on quantized spacetime" in: "Symmetry methods in physics", A.N. Sissakin et al. (eds.), vol.2, 463–470, Dubna 1994.

[4] Dobrev, V.K., Canonical q-deformations of noncompact Lie (super-) algebras, *J.Phys.A: Math. Gen.* **26**(1993), 1317–1334.

[5] Jimbo, M., A q-analogue of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11**(1986), 247–252.

[6] Kondratowicz, P. and Podleś, P., On representation theory of quantum $SL_q(2)$ groups at roots of unity, hep-th 9405079, *Quantum groups and quantum spaces*, Warsaw 1995, Banach Center Publications **40** (1997), 223–248, R. Budzyński et al. (eds.), Inst. of Math., Polish Acad. Sci.

[7] Lukierski, J., Nowicki, A. and Ruegg, H., New quantum Poincaré algebra and κ–deformed field theory, *Phys. Lett.* **B293** (1992), 344–352; Zakrzewski, S., Quantum Poincaré group related to the κ-Poincaré algebra, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994), 2075–2082. Cf also Lukierski,J., Nowicki, A., Ruegg, H. and Tolstoy, V.N., q-deformation of Poincaré algebra *Phys. Lett.* **B264** (1991), 331–338.

[8] Majid, S., Braided momentum in the q-Poincaré group, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 2045–2058.

[9] Ogievetsky, O., Schmidke, W.B., Wess, J. and Zumino, B., q-Deformed Poincaré algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992), 495–518.

[10] Podleś, P., Complex quantum groups and their real representations, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University* **28** (1992), 709–745.

[11] Podleś, P. and Woronowicz, S.L., "Inhomogeneous quantum groups" in: *Proceedings of First Caribbean Spring School of Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*, Guadeloupe 1993 (1995), 364–369, World Scientific Singapore–New Jersey–London–Hong Kong.

[12] Podleś, P. and Woronowicz, S.L., On the classification of quantum Poincaré groups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **178** (1996), 61–82.

[13] Schlieker, M., Weich, W. and Weixler, R., Inhomogeneous quantum groups, *Z. Phys. C. – Particles and Fields* **53** (1992), 79-82; Inhomogeneous quantum groups and their universal enveloping algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **27** (1993), 217–222.

[14] Woronowicz, S.L., Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665.

[15] Woronowicz, S.L., Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989), 125–170.

[16] Woronowicz, S.L. and Zakrzewski, S., Quantum deformations of the Lorentz group. The Hopf $*$-algebra level, *Comp. Math.* **90** (1994), 211–243.

[17] Zakrzewski, S., Geometric quantization of Poisson groups – diagonal and soft deformations, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 179 (1994), 271–285.

[18] Zakrzewski, S., Poisson structures on the Poincaré group, q-alg/9602001, will appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*

Note. In Ref. 11 one should assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ has an invertible coinverse.